Animal behaviour

A social insect fertility signal is dependent on chemical context
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Identifying group members and individuals’ status within a group are fundamental tasks in animal societies. For ants, this information is coded in the cuticular hydrocarbon profile. We manipulated profiles of the ant Odontomachus brunneus to examine whether the releaser and primer effects of fertility signals are dependent on chemical context. Fertility status is signalled through increased abundance of \((Z)-9\)-nonacosene \((Z_9:C_{29})\). Across the ant’s distribution, populations have distinct hydrocarbon profiles but the fertility signal is conserved. Foreign queens and fertility-signal-treated workers from the same population, sharing a similar chemical background, elicited releaser effects from workers, whereas queens and fertility-signal-treated workers from different populations did not. \(Z_9:C_{29}\) presented without a chemical background did not elicit releaser effects. A primer-effect experiment found that \(Z_9:C_{29}\), presented without a chemical background, did not inhibit worker reproduction. Our results demonstrate that a familiar chemical background is necessary for appropriate responses to fertility signals.

1. Introduction

Social behaviour depends on identifying group members who benefit from mutual cooperation \([1,2]\). In large societies where unfamiliar individuals encounter one another, phenotypic ‘tags’ can be used to indicate relatedness or group membership \([3]\). For example, in human evolution, hunter–gatherer bands may have used tags such as shared accents of identifiable vocalizations or proto-languages \([4,5]\). To interpret group membership, the receiver of these signals must first recognize the vocalization and then the accent nested within. Like humans, social insects often form large societies, wherein individual-level recognition is not possible. Instead, colony members share a gestalt nestmate chemical profile \([6,7]\). This cuticular hydrocarbon profile consists of approximately 10–40 long-chained \((C_{25}–C_{35})\) hydrophobic lipids that coat the insect cuticle. Within this profile, a subset of compounds signal an individual’s fertility status \([8]\). Social insects, therefore, compose model systems for studying nested signals of group membership and individual status.

Social insects are thought to first assess group membership, then make more detailed assessments related to division of labour or reproductive status \([9]\), but see \([10]\). This hypothesis remains largely untested owing to the small number of experimentally identified signals of caste or reproductive status. Experimental studies identifying the primer effect of queen hydrocarbon fertility signals in which a single compound is introduced to workers suggest that compounds without chemical background can mimic the presence of a queen by inhibiting worker ovarian development \([11–13]\). However, these compounds occur naturally only in the context of the entire chemical profile of an individual or an egg. By contrast, experimental studies of the releaser effect of fertility signals have supplemented the cuticular profile of non-reproductive workers with single compounds correlated with fertility \([14,15]\). These treatments evoke aggressive reactions (policing) from nestmate workers, inhibiting the potential reproductive efforts of their nestmates.
Cuticular hydrocarbon fertility signals have been experimentally identified in only seven species [13]. Of these, the trap-jaw ant Odontomachus brunneus is an ideal system to study the effect of chemical background on signal perception. This species is distributed throughout the southeastern United States, and populations have specific qualitative and quantitative differences in cuticular hydrocarbon compound presence and abundances [16]. Nevertheless, different populations signal fertility using the same compound, (Z)-9-nonacosene (Z9:C29), which is relatively more abundant in the profile of reproductive queens and reproductive workers than in non-reproductive workers [15,16]. Population variation of the overall profile and the conserved fertility signal allow us to examine the influence of a group membership signal on the perception of a signal of individual status. We measured the releaser-effect reaction of workers to nestmate and non-nestmate queens of differing hydrocarbon profiles, and reproductive non-nestmate workers treated with the fertility signal, than to workers whose hydrocarbon profiles had been removed (through successive hexane washes) and which had been treated with Z9:C29 or a hydrocarbon control (heptacosane, C27). Thirty microlitres of a hydrocarbon working solution (0.125 mg ml⁻¹ in hexane; 3.75 μg of hydrocarbon) were used for all experiments as per previous bioassays with this species [15]. Each experiment used a different set of workers, and stimuli were presented in random order. (See the electronic supplementary material for an additional releaser-effect experiment.)

Trials were video recorded, with videos given a coded title assuring that the data recorder was blind to the treatments. Data were analysed using Cochran’s Q-test, and sign tests were used for pairwise comparisons with Holm–Bonferroni adjusted significance levels for pairwise comparisons. All statistics were performed using STATISTICA v. 7 software (StatSoft, USA).

(b) Primer-effect experiment
Thirteen colonies from the Archbold population were split into three equal-sized queenless groups (mean group size 21; min. 12, max. 34), which were housed in a single 60 × 15 mm Petri dish nest with a moistened dental plaster floor, within a 19 × 13.5 cm arena. Treatments consisted of adding Z9:C29 or heptacosane (3.75 μg doses), or hexane (control) to glass coverslips. A single coverslip was added to each colony and replaced daily. Groups were fed sugar water ad libitum and 2–3 termites per day. Each nest was inspected daily for the presence of worker-laid eggs. Successful worker egg-laying was classified according to the date eggs first appeared and remained present in the nest for at least 48 h. This ensured that the eggs were not trophic (shared as food between nestmates) or policed (destroyed). All groups were given 45 days to lay eggs. The likelihood that worker groups successfully laid eggs was compared between treatments. For colonies in which all treatment groups laid eggs (8/13), the number of days until egg-laying was compared, within colonies, by a Friedman ANOVA test.

3. Results
(a) Releaser-effect experiments
A larger percentage of workers showed submissive reactions when they were presented with nestmate queens and non-nestmate queens from the same population than when presented queens from different populations or nestmate workers (figure 1b). Worker submissive reactions were more frequent in response to nestmate and foreign workers from the same population treated with the fertility signal, than to fertility-signal-treated workers from a different population.


Figure 2. Tests of primer effects of the pure compounds C_{27} (hydrocarbon control) and Z9:C_{29} showing days to egg-laying, relative to the hexane control (zero). Dotted lines connect data from the same colonies, Friedman ANOVA \( \chi^2 = 1.6, p = 0.46 \).

(b) Primer-effect experiment

Treatment type did not influence the probability that queenless worker groups would lay eggs (number of groups egg-laying per treatment out of 13 possible: Z9:C_{29} = 10, C_{27} = 12, hexane = 10; Cochran-Q-test \( Q = 4, p = 0.13 \)). Across the entire experiment, the average number of days until worker egg-laying was 23 (min. = 10, max. = 44). Survival of initial worker populations up to the point of egg-laying averaged 98% (min. = 87%, max. = 100%). A total of eight of 13 colonies had all worker groups lay eggs and were therefore directly comparable across all treatments. There was no effect of treatment on differences in days until worker egg-laying (figure 2).

4. Discussion

Our results indicate that the fertility signal of O. brunneus requires a familiar or near-nestmate chemical background to be perceived properly as a fertility signal. This result contrasts with other primer-effect experiments, wherein a compound presented without the normal chemical background inhibited worker ovarian development [11–13].


