Importance of breeding season and maternal investment in studies of sex-ratio adjustment: a case study using tree swallows
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The control of primary sex-ratio by vertebrates has become a major focus in biology in recent years. Evolutionary theory predicts that a differential effect of maternal characteristics on the fitness of sons and daughters is an important route, whereby selection is expected to favour a bias towards the production of one sex. However, despite experimental evidence for adaptive brood sex-ratio manipulation, support for this prediction remains a major challenge in vertebrates where inconsistencies between correlative studies are frequently reported. Here, we used a large dataset (2215 nestlings over 3 years) from a wild population of tree swallows (Tachycineta bicolor) and show that variations in breeding conditions affect female sex allocation in this species. Our results also suggest that such variation in sex allocation, owing to breeding season heterogeneity, modifies the relationships between maternal characteristics and maternal investment. Indeed, we detect a positive effect of maternal age on brood sex-ratio when age also affects offspring condition (in a low-quality breeding season). Our results indicate that including measures of both breeding season quality and maternal investment will help to better understand sex allocation patterns.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Variation in the production of sons and daughters is a key parameter in life history and evolutionary theory. Theory predicts that when fitness returns of producing sons or daughters vary with environmental or parental characteristics, selection should favour individuals capable of adjusting their offspring sex-ratio accordingly. Given this, Trivers & Willard [1] advocated that if one sex has a greater variance in reproductive success than the other, then mothers in better condition should invest more in one sex, generally males. On the other hand, mothers in poorer condition may improve their fitness by producing daughters rather than poor condition, less competitive sons. Yet, despite an impressive number of studies, results obtained from vertebrates are usually equivocal [2]. In birds, the applicability of sex-ratio theory to empirical contexts has been questioned [3] and initial findings are often debated (e.g. [4] versus [5]), leading to a lack of general interpretation of the phenomenon.

Most sex-ratio studies focus on the influence of parental characteristics without assessing variation in breeding conditions or the maternal investment in offspring. This simplification of the Trivers–Willard fundamentals can become problematic as soon as we consider that relationships between individual characteristics and individual investment are potentially unstable [6]. For example, environmental variation may mask age-related differences in female breeding performance, with individual heterogeneity being more obvious under challenging conditions [7]. Yet, despite its potential importance for elucidating key evolutionary processes, few studies have assessed the interplay among variation in female characteristics, sex allocation, maternal investment and breeding conditions.

Here, we investigate the relationship between maternal age and sex allocation under contrasted breeding seasons in tree swallows (Tachycineta bicolor). As proposed by Trivers & Willard [1], we hypothesize that sex biases should occur only when maternal characteristics also affect offspring condition.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Species, study area and sampling

Tree swallows are socially monogamous birds with one of the highest levels of extra-pair paternity [8]. Variance in reproductive success of males is consequently higher than that of females [9]. Also, nestling condition influences their future reproductive success through post-fledging survival or territory ownership [10], and females are known to adjust their primary brood sex-ratio adaptively [11].

Our study area contains 400 nest-boxes equally distributed among 40 farms over 10 200 km2 in Quebec, Canada [12,13]. Since 2004, all nest-boxes are monitored and both hatching date and nestling survival (proportion of hatched young that fledged) are routinely recorded. Breeding females are trapped in nest-boxes during incubation, ringed, sexed according to the presence of a brood patch and classified as second-year (SY) or after second-year (ASY) [14]. Over three breeding seasons (2006–2008), we recorded asymptotic nestling mass (+0.01 g) at 12 days old for 2215 nestlings (603 broods). Asymptotic body mass reflects parental feeding rate [15], which is a major energy and time investment in offspring [16]. A blood (or tissue) sample from each nestling (death or alive, or from un-hatched eggs with embryos) was collected for molecular sex determination. We found no sex-biased mortality between egg laying and nestling sex determination (binomial test, p = 0.217).

(b) DNA extraction and sexing

DNA was extracted using a proteinase K digestion followed by salt extraction [17]. Nestlings were sexed by PCR-amplification of the chromo-helicase DNA-binding genes using the P2 and P8 primers [13]. PCR products were visualized under UV-light (G-Box, Syn gene). We also verified the sex of 249 adults, and molecular sex always matched our phenotypic assessments.

(c) Statistical analyses

(i) Breeding season heterogeneity

Breeding seasons were compared using data on both hatching date and nestling survival. Hatching date affects several components of fitness [18] and offspring survival usually declines with date of hatching [19]. Also, the optimum reproductive investment of parents may increase with early breeding, because more time will be available for improving both adult and fledging body condition before migration. Differences in hatching date among breeding seasons were tested with a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with a normal distribution of errors and identity link function. Variations in nestling survival rate were tested with a GLMM with binomial errors and logit link function. Tukey contrasts were performed to account for multiple comparisons (multcomp package run in R v. 2.9.1).

(ii) Sex allocation, maternal age and investment

We modelled sex allocation (i.e. primary brood sex-ratio) from two vectors composed of the number of sons and daughters found in each
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Breeding seasons differed in their quality based both on hatching date ($F_{2,596} = 8.15, p < 0.001$; figure 1a) and nesting survival ($F_{2,604} = 5.40, p = 0.005$; figure 1b). Tukey contrasts revealed that 2008 was characterized by both earlier hatching (2006–2008: $z = 3.06, p = 0.006$ and 2007–2008: $z = 3.86, p < 0.001$) and higher nesting survival (2006–2008: $z = 5.01, p < 0.001$ and 2007–2008: $z = 3.80, p < 0.001$). Both indexes were equivalent in 2006–2007 (hatching date: $z = 0.94, p = 0.62$ and nesting survival: $z = 1.11, p = 0.51$). Yet, 2006 was characterized by the lowest nesting survival rate ($0.719 \pm 0.024$) measured in our population (mean survival rate in our system: $0.768 \pm 0.009$), whereas 2007 was average ($0.753 \pm 0.026$; figure 1b).

(a) Sex allocation, maternal age and investment

Maternal age effect on brood sex-ratio varied significantly among seasons (table 1). While the effect of maternal age on sex-ratio was significant in 2006 (older females had more sons, effect: $0.69 \pm 0.10, t = 6.88, p < 0.001$; figure 2a), it was not in 2007 (effect: $0.01 \pm 0.06, t = 0.19, p = 0.85$; figure 2a) and 2008 (effect: $0.02 \pm 0.06, t = 0.38, p = 0.71$; figure 2a). Also, the effect of maternal age on nesting mass was significantly different depending on seasons and nesting sexes ($F_{2,1802} = 4.50, p = 0.011$). More specifically, there was a significant interaction between female age and nesting sex ($F_{1,473} = 5.72, p = 0.017$) in 2006, owing to younger females having smaller daughters and bigger sons than older females (figure 2b), but not in 2007 and 2008 (both $p > 0.20$). Nestlings of young and old females had similar mass in 2007 and 2008 (figure 2c) but younger females nevertheless had a tendency to produce smaller nestlings than older females in 2007 ($F_{1,177} = 2.78, p = 0.097$; figure 2c). Brood size had a negative effect on nesting mass in both 2006 (effect: $-0.32 \pm 0.14, F_{1,160} = 5.55, p = 0.020$) and 2007 (effect: $-0.31 \pm 0.12, F_{1,194} = 7.18, p = 0.008$), but not in 2008 (effect: $-0.10 \pm 0.11, F_{1,197} = 0.83, p = 0.36$). Sons were heavier than daughters in each season (effect: $1.17 \pm 0.06, F_{1,1682} = 335.82, p < 0.001$). Brood sex-ratio had no effect on nesting mass (effect: $-0.51 \pm 0.31, F_{1,587} = 2.71, p = 0.10$).

4. DISCUSSION

We showed that maternal age can affect brood sex-ratio in tree swallows. Importantly, such an effect was detectable in a low-quality breeding season, when maternal age also affected offspring condition. Tree swallows show a standard pattern of senescence [20] and as a result,
ASY females are expected to allocate resources towards maintaining nestling mass, enduring the costs of reproduction. In contrast, SY females have higher residual reproductive value and are not yet experienced, and thus should be less likely to sustain significant costs themselves [21]. Variations in SY female strategies may be explained by the strong heterogeneity observed during these breeding seasons. For example, in contrast with 2006, the 2008 season was characterized by high-quality breeding conditions. Such conditions have probably masked any age-experience-related differences in female investment (and associated sex-ratio adjustment), as well as brood size effect on nestling mass. Interestingly, our analyses support a rarely verified assumption: that when a bias in sex-ratio occurs according to parental characteristic, parental investments between nestlings should also be sex-biased [1]. Hence, in 2006, young females (compared with ASY) reduced the direct costs of reproduction, by producing more daughters (i.e. the lighter sex), but improved their potential fitness return by maintaining the condition of their sons. However, in other seasons, both old and young females produced broods with similar sex-ratios and nestlings with comparable fledging weights.

Our findings emphasize that including measures of both breeding season heterogeneity and maternal investment in studies of sex-ratio adjustment is a valuable and relatively simple way to account for potential environmental variation. We thus strongly encourage authors to assess such links when analysing sex-ratio variation in the wild.
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