On the evolution of noise-dependent vocal plasticity in birds
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Signal plasticity is considered an important step in the evolution of animal communication. In acoustic communication, signal transmission is often constrained by background noise. One adaptation to evade acoustic signal masking is the Lombard effect, in which an animal increases its vocal amplitude in response to an increase in background noise. This form of signal plasticity has been found in mammals, including humans, and some birds, but not frogs. However, the evolution of the Lombard effect is still unclear. Here we demonstrate for the first time the Lombard effect in a phylogenetically basal bird species, the tinamou Eudromia elegans. By doing so, we take a step towards reconstructing the evolutionary history of noise-dependent vocal plasticity in birds. Similar to humans, the tinamou also raised their vocal pitch in noise, irrespective of any release from signal masking. The occurrence of the Lombard effect in a basal bird group suggests that this form of vocal plasticity was present in the common ancestor of all living birds and thus evolved at least as early as 119 Ma.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Noise is a major constraint on any form of communication. In particular, animals that use sound to communicate must deal with various biotic and abiotic noises in their habitats. Solutions to the problem of acoustic signal masking involve special adaptations in the receiver as well as in the sender [1–3]. On the sender’s side, one mechanism to increase the signal-to-noise ratio in a noisy environment is the Lombard effect, an involuntary vocal phenomenon in which a calling animal increases its vocal amplitude in response to an increase in background noise [4]. This noise-dependent vocal plasticity requires a neural feedback loop between vocal production and perception [5], two systems that are often viewed in isolation. The Lombard effect is well known in human speech and it has also been reported in several other mammalian species, as well as some phylogenetically derived bird groups, but is absent in frogs [4].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Experimental set-up

Very little is known about the repertoire and function of elegant crested tinamou calls [11]. We monitored our captive group of three females (F1, F2 and F3) and two males (M1 and M2) for six months in a housing room (4.3 × 3.5 m and 2.2 m high, 12 L: 12 h cycle with a 10 min artificial dawn and dusk) during which time all their vocalizations were automatically recorded. For the Lombard experiments, we tested each of the tinamous singly in an aviary (1 × 1 m and 2 m high) in a sound-shielded room monitored by five video cameras. Digital sound recordings (44.1 kHz sample rate, 16-bit accuracy) were made with an omnidirectional microphone (Sennheiser ME62) suspended 1.6 m above the centre of the cage floor to a computer through an external sound card (Edirol UA-101). White noise in the frequency band from 0.01 to 10 kHz was played from a computer through an amplifier (Dynavox CS-PA1) to two loudspeakers (JBL pro III) (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The speakers were mounted opposite each other at the approximate height of a tinamou’s head (30 cm), 1.3 m from the centre of the cage. We broadcast the noise at two levels, varying their order systematically between birds. The playback amplitude was set at 45 dB(A) sound pressure level (SPL) for the low-noise condition and at 65 dB(A) for the high-noise condition (measured at the position of the birds’ heads at the centre of the cage). According to the bird’s exact position the received noise level varied by up to 5 dB. To eliciting calling, we played a male tinamou call at 75 dB(A) at the beginning of each session using a digital playback device (Foxpro Scorpion Xi-A). The noise amplitude was changed when the tested bird had called at least 12 times.

(b) Acoustic analyses and statistics

The sound analyses were carried out using the software ADEOFF/SASLAB Pro (© 5.1.17 (see the electronic supplementary material). Briefly, for each call, the maximum SPL was measured (integration time 50 ms) and then the background noise value was subtracted [4]. Peak frequencies were measured in power spectra with a resolution of 0.7 Hz. Individual differences in call amplitude and frequency between the noise conditions were tested with two-sided Mann–Whitney U-tests. All statistically significant differences retained significance at p < 0.01 after Bonferroni–Holm correction. The relationship between amplitude and frequency across birds was investigated with a general linear mixed model. Sex was included in the model as a fixed factor, and individual as a random effect. We used a Wald-χ² test to investigate the link between call amplitude and frequency.

3. RESULTS

We identified at least 12 different call types in our recordings (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S3). One of the most common vocalizations were hoo-weets and chee-weet calls (figure 1b,c), which were also the only calls that were repeatedly elicited by our playback. Females responded to the playbacks with hoo-weets, whereas males responded with chee-weets, which were considerably higher in amplitude.
4. DISCUSSION

We present the first evidence for the Lombard effect in a palaeognath bird. Moreover, we also found that tinamous use a large repertoire of call types that vary in structure and usage. As such, our results demonstrate that a basal bird exhibits a degree of vocal complexity and plasticity that had only been described in mammals and more derived birds. Interestingly, only the female tinamous increased their call amplitude in response to increased background noise. The absence of a similar response in the tested males may be due to the considerably higher call amplitude of males. Males may have called closer to their physical upper limit and may therefore have had no capacity to increase their call amplitude, at least for the chee-weet call. A sex difference in the Lombard effect was also reported in a songbird, the Bengalese finch *Lonchura striata* [12]. Although both male and female finches exhibited the Lombard effect, the effect was weaker in females, probably because, like male tinamous, they called at higher amplitudes.

Our study also demonstrated a coupling of vocal amplitude and pitch in tinamous calls, which has previously been suggested for vocalizations of more derived birds (reviewed in [13]). Most probably this association is the result of a physical coupling during vocal production [14]. This biophysical link may have led to a frequency increase when the tinamous raised their call amplitude in elevated noise. It is important to note that the increase in call pitch did not yield an increase in the signal-to-noise ratio, as the vocalizations were masked by the broad spectrum background noise. Thus, we conclude that the noise-dependent increase in peak frequency in the tested birds is a passive response that occurs irrespective of any release from signal masking. This finding in a bird resembles the Lombard effect in humans, as speakers also involuntarily raise their vocal pitch in noise even when it would not improve signal detection [15]. Birds exposed to intense anthropogenic noise often vocalize at higher frequencies, which has been interpreted as an adaptation to mitigate masking from low frequency noise [16,17]. This frequency shift can be achieved either by using different call types [18] or by modifying the same call [17,19] similar to the Lombard effect. Higher song frequencies can be beneficial in terms of receiver responses in noise [20–22], but whether the increases in pitch are indeed the outcome of selection processes is debated.
[13,23]. Our data show that a noise-related increase in vocal frequency can occur irrespective of any release from signal masking, supporting the notion that the observed changes in urban bird vocalizations may be a by-product of the Lombard effect that creates a fortuitous masking release in low-frequency noise [24].

Our findings suggest that the Lombard effect may be a shared trait of extant birds, and may therefore have evolved more than 119 Ma [25]. Presuming it is also a shared trait of extant birds, and may therefore have evolved more than 119 Ma [25]. Presuming it is also an ancestral trait in mammals, one can put forward at least two alternative phylogenetic hypotheses: (i) the Lombard effect evolved independently in the most recent common ancestor to mammals and again in ancestral birds or (ii) it is a trait shared through common descent. If the latter is true, then the common ancestor of birds and mammals, i.e. an early amniote, must have exhibited the Lombard effect. The neuronal circuits essential for the Lombard effect in mammals are located in the brainstem [5], the phylogenetically oldest part of the vertebrate brain. Moreover, given that many amphibians vocalize but do not seem to show a Lombard response [26], it is conceivable that this form of vocal plasticity might have evolved in an early amniote. To test these two hypotheses, the closest living relative of birds, the crocodilians and other members of the Sauropsidae need to be studied.
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