A quantitative threshold for nest-mate recognition in a paper social wasp

Alessandro Cini*, Letizia Gioli and Rita Cervo

Dipartimento di Biologia Evoluzionistica ‘Leo Pardi’, Università di Firenze, via Romana 17, 50125 Florence, Italy.
*Author for correspondence (alessandro.cini@unifi.it).

1. INTRODUCTION

Social insect colonies are highly defended fortresses. The great investment in time and resources they represent have posed the challenge of defending this treasure properly. Besides heterospecific predators and parasites, a major threat is usually represented by homospecific intruders, who get into the nest looking for an available ‘source of protein and sugar’ or for a foraging opportunity. They might be the result of accidental entry, or they could be deliberate and have the purpose of exploiting the nest resources. Regardless of the purpose, the entry of intruders is highly surprising for the resident foundress. The relation between the amount of cues and the elicited response supports the idea that a threshold exists in the chemical recognition system of this species. The chemical insignificance hypothesis thus holds in a host–parasite system of Polistes wasps, even though other explanations should not be discarded.
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2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) The biological model

Polistes dominulus colonies are founded in spring by one or several overwintering mated females and colonies are highly aggressive towards intruders (Dani et al. 1996). Polistes dominulus is a widely used biological model in sociobiological and chemical communication studies (Starks & Turillazzi 2006), and it is the host of three species of congeneric social parasites (Cervo 2006), some of which seem to use the chemical insignificance strategy to increase their success in usurpation (Lorenzi 2006).

(b) Collection and rearing of animals

Twenty-two single-foundress pre-emergence colonies were collected in spring in the surroundings of Florence, from four different populations. Foundresses and nests were transferred to the laboratory and reared from cubic glass cages of 15 cm in each dimension. Water, sugar and fly maggots were provided ad libitum. Twenty-two foraging foundresses were collected at the same time and in the same place as the colonies. They were killed by freezing and their cuticular compounds were used to prepare lures (discussed subsequently).

(c) Lure preparation

We obtained 22 extracts of P. dominulus foundresses by washing each wasp in 300 μl of pentane for 15 min. Extracts were then dried at room temperature and resuspended in 200 μl of pentane to obtain higher concentrations. Lures were obtained by
placing different amounts of the extract of the same wasp on a square piece of clean filter paper (rinsed with the solvent) of approximately 0.8 cm side. The first lure (hereafter called ‘one-third lure’) was prepared by putting one-third (approx. 67 µl) of the total extract on the filter paper. After the behavioural session, the lure was covered with another third of the extract to obtain the ‘two-third lure’. Finally, after the following behavioural session, the paper was covered with the last third of the extract to obtain the ‘total extract lure’. Preliminary GC–MS analyses have shown that this protocol effectively provides fractions with the expected amount of CHC without altering the chemical profile. The control lure was obtained by using the solvent only.

(d) Behavioural essays
The lure was set on a long stick and was slowly brought closer to the nest. It was held at 1 cm distance from the nest for 1 min after the first interaction of the foundress with the lure. Four presentations, with a 60 min interval between them, were made in the following order: blank lure, one-third lure, two-third lure and total extract lure. Tests were carried out when colonies had at least one larva and one pupa. Essays were made between 11:00 and 17:00, and wasp behaviour was video-recorded. Since we did not expect a ‘piece of paper’ lure without the physical presence of the intruder to be sufficient to evoke very aggressive responses, we calculated an aggressive response index by summing the total time spent in biting, climbing on and antennating the lure during the first 60 s of presentation. Data were analysed with SPSS 15.0.

Since it was impossible to subtract CHC from the piece of paper to perform essays in a random order, we performed the further control experiment to check for possible sensitization or habituation effects on the wasp’s response. We made behavioural essays using the same lure for the four presentations without changing the order: blank, one-third, two-third and total extract lures. Tests were carried out when colonies had at least one larva and one pupa. Essays were made between 11:00 and 17:00, and wasp behaviour was video-recorded. Since we did not expect a ‘piece of paper’ lure without the physical presence of the intruder to be sufficient to evoke very aggressive responses, we calculated an aggressive response index by summing the total time spent in biting, climbing on and antennating the lure during the first 60 s of presentation. Data were analysed with SPSS 15.0.

In order to avoid high relatedness between ‘resident females’ and ‘intruders’, and be confident that they had not previously interacted, we coupled each resident female with an extract obtained by a parasympatric wasp.

3. RESULTS
Foundresses increased their response according to the increase in the CHC amount. The time spent in the aggressive response differed between the treatments (Friedman test, χ² = 14.18, d.f. = 3, p = 0.003, N = 22 colonies; see figure 1). The full concentration elicited a significantly stronger response than the one-third concentration. The one-third extract did not elicit more aggressive responses than the control, while the two-third and the full amount extracts did. There was no difference in the responses evoked by the one-third and two-third extracts (see table 1 for post hoc results). Repeated presentation per se did not influence the aggressive response, i.e. the response did not change if wasps were tested four consecutive times with the same amount of CHC each time (mean ± s.d.: 5.4 ± 9.60, 9.13 ± 19.63, 8.87 ± 10.33, 9.73 ± 15.35 s; Friedman test, χ² = 1.856, d.f. = 3, p = 0.603, N = 15 colonies).

4. DISCUSSION
Our results show that different amounts of cuticular lipids of a foreign female evoke different aggressive responses in the resident foundresses in the social wasp P. dominulus. The full amount elicited significantly stronger responses than the one-third fraction and the blank control, while a fraction smaller than the full amount (two-thirds of the total) is treated as the full amount and small quantities (one-third of the total) evoke the same responses as the blank control. Our findings thus support the idea of a threshold mechanism in the chemical recognition system, with discrimination occurring only above a certain amount of cues.

Our results show a great variation in the individual response levels to the same cues’ amounts. Several factors could account for these differences, from heterogeneity in chemical stimuli to individual differences in perceptive abilities or aggressiveness. We believe that the threshold could be plastic and dependent on colonial and population features in addition to individual-level peculiarities. Nest-mate recognition is indeed strongly dependent on the context in P. dominulus (Starks et al. 1998). Future work would be useful to evaluate the consequences of this great variability such as differences in susceptibility to social parasitism among colonies.

Table 1. Results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Monte Carlo method (Z- and p-values), testing for differences in the aggressive responses of foundresses towards presentation of stimuli with different amounts of foreign CHC (d.f. = 3, N = 22). (Bold numbers refer to significant p-values.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pair</th>
<th>Z-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control versus one-third</td>
<td>-1.469</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control versus two-third</td>
<td>-2.881</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control versus total</td>
<td>-3.322</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-third versus two-third</td>
<td>-1.815</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-third versus total</td>
<td>-2.653</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-third versus total</td>
<td>-0.430</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We believe that these results could be important in the study of social parasitism in Hymenoptera. Our study provides the first direct evidence that the chemical recognition system of a host species depends on the semiochemicals’ amounts. Under a certain threshold (around one-third of the total cuticular amount in this study), non-nest-mate rejection seems to be impaired. Bearing-reduced cue quantities of CHC when approaching the non-nest-mate rejection seems to be impaired. Bearing-reduced cue quantities of CHC when approaching the non-nest-mate rejection seems to be impaired. Bearing-reduced cue quantities of CHC when approaching the non-nest-mate rejection seems to be impaired. Bearing-reduced cue quantities of CHC when approaching the non-nest-mate rejection seems to be impaired. Bearing-reduced cue quantities of CHC when approaching the non-nest-mate rejection seemed to do usurping parasites possess only 40 per cent of the amount of CHC of their P. dominulus hosts (Lorenzi et al. 2004).

Our study expands knowledge on the chemical recognition system of a model species in animal communication studies, deepening the knowledge of the quantitative aspects of chemical recognition—an often neglected approach, if compared with the qualitative ones. The results are moreover interesting for the study of social parasitism, a very widespread phenomenon which evolved multiple times in social insects as well as in birds, fishes and mammals (Cervo 2006).
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