Climate change causing starvation in harbour porpoises?

Recently, MacLeod et al. (2007) analysed data from harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) stranded on Scottish North Sea coasts in March–May of 1993–2003. Comparing 2002–2003 data against baseline data from previous years, they suggest that reductions in sandeel prey have increased the likelihood of starvation, and that future climate change could negatively impact conservation status of North Sea porpoises. We argue that small sample sizes and uncertainty over biases in these data cast doubt on the study’s evidence for any impact of climate change, and the paper serves to confuse, rather than contribute to, current conservation efforts.

Several hundred harbour porpoises are stranded annually around UK coasts. Over 40% are killed traumatically (primarily fisheries by-catch or attacks by bottlenose dolphins Tursiops truncatus) and the remainder die from various natural causes including starvation (Jepson 2006). Fishing effort and Tursiops distribution are patchy, so the relative occurrence of traumatic and natural deaths varies in time and space, while differences in body condition of healthy and sick animals may affect the probability of floating ashore.

These factors lead to two fundamental problems with MacLeod et al.’s (2007) analyses. First, presenting results from small sample sizes as percentage changes distorts their biological significance. For example, the results state that ‘the proportion of porpoises from which any sandeel remains were recovered in March 2002–2003 was 50% of that in the baseline period’. But table 1 reveals that this reflects a change equivalent to one of four (25%) rather than two of four (50%) individuals. Similarly, the central claim that the percentage of porpoises that starved increased from 5 to 33% was based on only 11 starved individuals. Furthermore, the authors treat individual food items in stomachs as independent sampling units, whereas it would be more appropriate to use porpoises as the independent analysis unit, in which case any sandeel remains were recovered in March 2002–2003 was 50% of that in the baseline period. In addition, we question the predicted effects of climate change. Regional variation in the influence of climate on sandeel abundance (Arnott & Ruxton 2002) suggests that availability of sandeels to porpoises will vary across their range. Crucially, while seabird reproduction is related to water temperature, reproductive success is also depressed by industrial sandeel fisheries (Frederiksen et al. 2004), which have reduced in Scottish waters since 2000. A central tenet of MacLeod et al.’s (2007) paper is that North Sea sandeel abundance has declined, for which they cite ICES Fisheries Management Advice (Anonymous 2006). While true for the whole North Sea, this ICES report also highlights that, since 2000, stock monitoring along the east coast of Scotland (approx. 50% of MacLeod et al.’s (2007) study area), showed a large increase in sandeel biomass. Efforts to prioritize conservation action under these complex scenarios must be underpinned by more rigorous analysis of all available data.
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NOTICE OF CORRECTION
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