Immune activation suppresses plasma testosterone level: a meta-analysis
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Females often select mates on the basis of sexual signals, which can be reliable indicators of male quality when the costliness of these signals prevents cheating. The immunocompetence handicap hypothesis (ICHH) provides a mechanistic explanation of these costs, by proposing a trade-off between immune function and sexual displays. This trade-off arises because testosterone enhances sexual signals, but suppresses immune function. Many studies have investigated the ICHH by administrating testosterone, and a recent meta-analysis found little evidence that testosterone suppressed immune function. However, another component of the ICHH, which has received less empirical interest, suggests that there may also be an interaction in the other direction, with immune activation suppressing testosterone levels. We present a meta-analysis to test for this effect. Overall, there was a strong suppressive effect of experimental immune activation on testosterone levels (r = −0.52), regardless of whether live pathogens or non-pathogenic antigens were used to challenge the immune system. The latter is important because it shows that immune activation per se suppresses testosterone levels. Thus, a trade-off between immunocompetence and sexual displays may primarily be generated by the effect of immune activation on testosterone, rather than the opposite effect that has received most attention.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Males that have more intense sexual signals often have higher mating success (Andersson 1994), which has raised the question of what constrains sexual signals. Hamilton & Zuk (1982) hypothesized that the expression of sexual signals reflects health and vigour, but did not specify what mechanism could constrain the development of sexual signals by individuals in poor health. Foslad & Karter (1992) filled this gap by proposing the immunocompetence handicap hypothesis (ICHH), which summarized what was then known about the interactions between sexual signals, androgens, parasites and the immune system. Their main prediction was that there would be a trade-off between sexual displays on one hand and immune function on the other hand. This prediction was primarily based on the supposed dual effect of testosterone (T), which enhances sexual displays, but suppresses immune function (Foslad & Karter 1992). Thus, only males that can afford to suppress their immune system, for example, because they are genetically well adapted to the prevailing parasites, are able to maintain sexual signals at high levels.

The ICHH has played an important role in shaping the study of parasite-mediated sexual selection, and many studies have been carried out to test this hypothesis or its assumptions. The main focus of the ICHH and the studies it inspired has been on the supposed dual effect of T. Studies that tested the assumption that T suppresses immunocompetence were meta-analysed by Roberts et al. (2004), who found that this effect was on average small and far from statistically significant. On the other hand, a meta-analysis of the effect of parasites on sexual signals, another component of the ICHH, revealed that experimental exposure to parasites significantly suppressed sexual signals (Møller et al. 1999). Since the expression of sexual signals is often regulated by testosterone, this suggests that parasites or the immune system may suppress T levels (Hillgarth & Wingfield 1997; Verhulst et al. 1999). This was part of the ICHH as originally formulated, but has received comparatively little attention. In this paper, we present a meta-analysis that investigates the effect of immune activation on T. In view of the result of Roberts et al. (2004) that on average T does not suppress immunocompetence, it would be important to confirm whether immune activation suppresses T, since this would be an alternative mechanism that generates a trade-off between immunocompetence and sexual signals.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We searched experimental studies that included an in vivo immune challenge followed by plasma T measurements in adult male subjects using electronic databases and by checking references of relevant papers. In total, 13 eligible studies were found (600 individuals of six different species; table 1). It is worth noting that these 13 publications were scattered throughout the literature, and only two studies were couched in a sexual selection framework. One publication contained two independent experiments and we treated these as independent data points. Effect sizes were expressed as correlation coefficients (r). Unless mentioned otherwise, we used studies as units of analysis, but also report results using species as units of analysis. A random model was used to test and quantify effect sizes using ‘Comprehensive Meta-Analyses’ (v. 2).

For further details of the literature search and effect size calculations see the electronic supplementary material.

3. RESULTS

Immune challenges suppressed T (r = −0.52; 95% CI: −0.61, −0.41; p < 0.001), also when using species as units of analysis (r = −0.45; 95% CI: −0.53, −0.37; p < 0.001). Publication bias can distort meta-analyses but a funnel graph suggests little bias (figure 1), and a trim-and-fill test did not change the overall effect size. Furthermore, Rosenthal’s fail-safe N-test (Rosenthal 1984) revealed that 520 studies with a mean effect size
of 0 are required to render the observed effect non-
significant. Thus, we conclude that the outcome of our
analysis is unlikely to be due to publication bias.

There was significant heterogeneity among effect
sizes ($Q=27.9, p=0.009$), which could imply that in
subgroups immune activation did not suppress T. We
therefore quantified the overall effect size separately,
grouping the data with respect to two prominent
factors. Immune activation was achieved using a
living or a non-living immune challenge, and both
yielded significant effect sizes (figure 2a). Likewise,
the effect sizes of birds and mammals were both
significant (figure 2b). In neither case did the sub-
groups differ from each other ($p>0.35$). We repeated
the analyses in figure 2 using species as units of
analysis, which for technical reasons necessitated
restriction to one of the four subgroups in figure 2
per analysis. This did not change the conclusions
($-0.58 \leq r \leq -0.36$, all $p<0.0001$).

4. DISCUSSION

Overall, there was a strong T-suppressive effect of
immune activation (figure 1). This conclusion appears
robust, in the sense that the fail-safe test and the funnel
plot suggest that it is unlikely to be caused by
publication bias. Furthermore, it holds independent of
taxonomy, antigen type and data treatment, at least
within the limited range used in the available studies
(figure 2). Physiological pathways mediating the
immune effect on T may vary depending on the nature
of the immune challenge, e.g. modulate gonadal
activity via the hypothalamus (Ogilvie & Rivier 1998;
Klein 2004), or affect the testis directly, either via the
nerve vagus or via blood-borne messengers such as
cytokines (Bornstein et al. 2004). Unfortunately, the
available data do not yet allow further investigation of
the importance of such variation for effect sizes.

Parasitized individuals have less elaborate sexual
signals than healthy individuals (Møller et al. 1999),
which may be mediated by a T-suppressive effect of
parasite infection. This effect could arise indirectly, via

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>immune challenge</th>
<th>sample size ($n$)</th>
<th>effect size ($r$)</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mammals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>lps</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-0.442</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>1. Hales et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>malaria*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.711</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2. Barthelemy et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>schistosoma*</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.827</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3. Isseroff et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>schistosoma*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.708</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4. He et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>lps</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-0.575</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>5. Weil et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>schistosoma*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>6. Kasilima et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>lps</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-0.513</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>7. O’Bryan et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>lps</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>8. O’Bryan et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>malaria*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>9. Mutayoba et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>birds</td>
<td>collared flycatcher</td>
<td>SRBC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.584</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>bronchitis*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.497</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>11. Boltz et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>bronchitis*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-0.511</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>12. Boltz et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>mite*</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-0.388</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>13. De Vaney et al. (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>SRBC</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>14. Verhulst et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall effect</td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-0.517</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Funnel plot of effect size against sample size. Dotted lines show values at which $r$ differs significantly
from zero with $p=0.05$. Numbers correspond to studies in
table 1.

well be such an effect in specific situations) provides a challenge for this prediction, since without such an effect the level of T (and thus sexual signalling) can be increased without impeding the immune system. However, the opposite pathway we examine in this paper, of immune activation suppressing T, also produces a trade-off between immunocompetence and sexual signalling. Thus, we agree with the ICHH prediction that there is a trade-off between immunocompetence and sexual signalling, but suggest that this trade-off is primarily generated by the effect of immune activation on testosterone, rather than the opposite effect that has received most attention. Parasite prevalence is often substantial (e.g. Hellgren et al. 2008), and given the plethora of parasite species there are probably very few individuals completely free from infection. Thus, many individuals may permanently experience the T-suppressive effect of immune activation, and females can use T-dependent displays to select a healthy mate.
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