A keystone effect for parasites in intraguild predation?
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Intraguild predation (IGP) is common in communities, yet theory suggests it should not often persist and coexistence of participating species should be rare. As parasitism can play keystone roles in interactions between competitors, and between predators and prey, here we examine the role of parasites in maintaining IGP. We used numerical exploration of population dynamic equations to determine coexistence and exclusion zones for two species engaged in IGP with shared parasitism. We demonstrate that parasitism increases the range of conditions leading to coexistence when the parasite exerts a greater deleterious effect on the ‘stronger’ species in terms of the combined effects of competition and predation. Such a parasite can enable an inferior competitor that is also the less predatory to persist, and may actually lead to numerical dominance of this species.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ecologists need to understand the processes involved in promoting population stability and species coexistence; recently, there has been increasing interest in the influence of parasitism on these processes (Thomas et al. 2005; Holt & Dobson 2006). One method of characterizing a community is to decompose it into subsets of strongly interacting species (community modules; Holt 1997). Although some modules have been examined in depth empirically and theoretically, there are still many areas of uncertainty, particularly where modules involving parasitism are concerned (Hatcher et al. 2006; Holt & Dobson 2006).

A frequent component module in communities is intraguild predation (IGP), predation between members of an ecological guild (species that exploit the same resources in similar ways, i.e. potential competitors; Holt & Polis 1997; Rosenheim 2007). IGP can be uni- or bidirectional; it often occurs among closely related species but can occur between disparate taxa, and is often associated with cannibalism (Dick et al. 1993; Rosenheim 2007). Recent analysis indicates that IGP is widespread in real food webs (Arim & Marquet 2004). However, this presents a paradox as mathematical models predict that IGP modules will frequently be unstable in ecological time (Holt 1997). For IGP to persist, the less predatory of the two species must be strongly competitively dominant, otherwise it will be eliminated by the stronger predator (Holt & Polis 1997). Yet, in many real systems, it is unclear whether the predicted pattern is upheld (Rosenheim 2007).

The frequency of trophic links involving parasites testifies to their potential importance in community ecology and ecosystem function (Hudson et al. 2006). Parasitism can play keystone roles in interactions between competitors and in predator–prey systems (Thomas et al. 2005; Hatcher et al. 2006). Here, we examine theoretically if parasitism stabilizes IGP through its effects on the competitive and predatory components of the association. We present a mathematical model combining IGP and parasitism, and ask if shared parasitism (where two species engaged in IGP are hosts of the same parasite species) can increase the range of conditions leading to coexistence.

2. THE MODEL

Our approach follows Dick et al. (1993) who examined mutual IGP and cannibalism, and Bowers & Turner (1997) concerning interspecific competition with shared parasitism. We assume overlapping generations for two host species with Lotka–Volterra competition; IGP is a linear function of the densities of both host species; parasite transmission is proportional to the densities of susceptible and infected individuals (i.e. it follows standard mass action principles), and the net rate of loss of infected individuals is composed of mortality due to parasitism, cannibalism and IGP. The model is broadly applicable to a range of invertebrate host-microparasite systems.

Our equations describing changes in population densities of two host species are

\[ \frac{dN_i}{dt} = r_i N_i (1 - a_{i1} N_i - a_{i2} N_j) - (1 - e) k_i N_i^2 - (\gamma_{12} - e \gamma_{21}) N_i N_j - \Omega_{i1} I_1, \quad (2.1) \]

\[ \frac{dI_i}{dt} = \beta_{11} (N_i - I_i) I_1 + \beta_{12} (N_i - I_i) I_2 - (1 - e) k_i N_i I_i - N_2 I_i (\gamma_{12} - e \gamma_{21}) - \Omega_{i1} I_1, \quad (2.2) \]

\[ \frac{dN_j}{dt} = r_j N_j (1 - a_{j1} N_j - a_{j2} N_i) - (1 - e) k_j N_j^2 - (\gamma_{21} - e \gamma_{12}) N_j N_i - \Omega_{j1} I_1, \quad (2.3) \]

\[ \frac{dI_j}{dt} = \beta_{21} (N_j - I_j) I_1 + \beta_{22} (N_j - I_j) I_2 - (1 - e) k_j N_j I_j - N_1 I_j (\gamma_{21} - e \gamma_{12}) - \Omega_{j1} I_2, \quad (2.4) \]

where \( N_i \), total population density of host species \( i \); \( I_i \), density of the infected subpopulation of host species \( i \); \( r_i \), intrinsic per capita population growth rate; \( a_{ij} \), competition coefficient (the effect on species \( i \) of species \( j \)); \( e \), conversion efficiency of victims of predation or cannibalism into offspring; \( k_{ij} \), instantaneous rate of cannibalism; \( \gamma_{ij} \), instantaneous rate of predation of species \( j \) on species \( i \); \( 2a_{ij} \), per capita rate of parasite-induced mortality; and \( \Omega_{ij} \), parasite transmission efficiency to species \( i \) from species \( j \).
As equations (2.1)–(2.4) cannot be solved algebraically (see also Bowers & Turner (1997) for a similar system without predation), population dynamic outcomes were examined using numerical exploration of the parametrized equations, with initial populations \( \left( N_1, N_2 \right) = (10, 10) \) iterated to equilibrium. Parameter values at which transitions between stable states occurred were bounded by binary search to an accuracy of \( \pm 5 \) decimal places.

Figure 1 characterizes the population dynamic outcomes for two competing species that share a parasite and perform mutual, asymmetric predation. Parasite virulence was kept relatively low in species 1 \( \left( U_1 = 0.1 \right) \), but set to higher levels in species 2. In the absence of parasitism, the results support Holt & Polis (1997): coexistence is most likely when the superior predator is the inferior competitor (bottom left of figure 1a; note, however, that our results include the effect of cannibalism). Moving along the x-axis of figure 1a as interspecific competition by species 1 \( \left( \alpha_{12} \right) \) increases, the outcome changes from coexistence to exclusion of species 2. Moving up the y-axis, as predation by species 2 \( \left( \gamma_{12} \right) \) increases, the outcome changes from coexistence to exclusion of species 1. Parasitism increases the range of conditions leading to coexistence, raising the level of IGP that can be tolerated by the weaker predator. At strong competition–IGP combinations (top right of graph), coexistence cannot occur; exclusion is determined by the relative strengths of IGP and competition. In the top left of figure 1a,
Figure 2. Equilibrium population sizes in relation to parasite virulence. (a) Parasites of intermediate virulence reverse the numerical dominance of a superior competitor and predator \((\alpha_{11}=0.005, \alpha_{12}=0.001, \alpha_{22}=0.005, \alpha_{21}=0.0045, \gamma_{12}=0.012, \gamma_{21}=0.01)\); other parameters are the same as given in figure 1a). Filled circle, \(N_{1}\); open circle, \(I_{1}\); filled triangle, \(N_{2}\); open triangle, \(I_{2}\). (b) Effect of parasitism on the competitive and predatory components of IGP. Equilibrium population sizes \((\alpha_{11}=\alpha_{22}=0.005, \alpha_{12}=\alpha_{21}=0.0005)\) are plotted in the presence \((\gamma_{12}=0.015, \gamma_{21}=0.01)\) and absence \((\gamma_{12}=\gamma_{21}=0)\) of IGP (other parameters are the same as given in figure 1a). The numerical impact of IGP on species 2 is slight, but the interaction between parasitism and IGP has a marked impact on species 1. Filled circle, \(N_{1}\) (no IGP); open circle, \(N_{1}\) (with IGP); filled triangle, \(N_{2}\) (no IGP); open triangle, \(N_{2}\) (with IGP).
via its effects on population size, enabling an increase in the equilibrium population size of species 1; similar effects occur in linear food chains (Holt 1997; Holt & Dobson 2006).

Parasite-mediated IGP may be another process influencing community structure and the outcome of biological invasions (Prenter et al. 2004; Thomas et al. 2005). This possibility has been examined empirically in a guild of native and invasive amphipods (MacNeil et al. 2003a,b). The native Gammarus duebeni celticus is under threat in Northern Ireland from the following three invasive species: Gammarus pulex (the stronger IG predator), Gammarus tigrinus and Crangonyx pseudogracilis (both smaller and weaker IG predators). IGP theory predicts that G. pulex should eliminate G. d. celticus and that invasions by G. tigrinus and C. pseudogracilis should fail. However, two parasite species may play keystone roles in this system. The microsporidian Pleistophora mulleri may facilitate invasion by the smaller species; it has no direct effect on the survival of G. d. celticus, but infected adults are less likely to prey on the two smaller invaders (however, infection also increases vulnerability to predation by G. pulex; MacNeil et al. 2003a). In addition, the acanthocephalan Echinorhynchus truttae may promote coexistence as infection of G. pulex reduces its predation on G. d. celticus (MacNeil et al. 2003b). Other papers on apparent competition in arthropods also speculate that parasite-mediated IGP might be important (Hatcher et al. 2006).

We may have underestimated the influence of parasitism in our model as the effects of parasitism on the competing species are entirely population density-mediated. However, in many systems (including the Gammarus system above: MacNeil et al. 2003a), parasites modify the competitive or predatory traits of hosts via effects on behaviour or morphology. Such trait-mediated indirect effects (Werner & Peacor 2003) can potentially have powerful effects on the dynamics of species interactions (Hatcher et al. 2006) and warrant further study.
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