Crab scars reveal survival advantage of left-handed snails
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Biological asymmetries are important elements of the structure and function of many living organisms. Using the Plio–Pleistocene fossil record of crab predation on morphologically similar pairs of right- and left-handed snail species, we show here for the first time, contrary to traditional wisdom, that rare left-handed coiling promotes survival from attacks by right-handed crabs. This frequency-dependent result influences the balance of selection processes that maintain left-handedness at the species level and parallels some social interactions in human cultures, such as sports that involve dual contests between opponents of opposite handedness.
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1. INTRODUCTION

From the twists of molecules to the internal organs of humans, conspicuous biological asymmetries pervade nature (McManus 2002). The vast majority of marine snails, for instance, have shells that coil dextrally—towards the right when oriented with the shell apex pointing upwards and the aperture facing the observer. Although left-handed (sinistral) individuals are known in many normally dextral species, characteristically sinistral species are exceptionally rare (Arthur 2000; Vermeij 2002).

Little attention has been given to the adaptive consequences of these rare reversals in shell coiling. Handedness is known to affect reproductive success (Ueshima & Asami 2003); dextral snails often have difficulty in mating with conspecific sinistral individuals, making it likely that the maintenance of handedness is associated with sexual selection for compatibility during mating (Asami et al. 1998). Sinistrality is commonly assumed, however, to have no survival-related advantages after hatching, being entirely equivalent in functional terms with righthandedness (Vermeij 1975, 2002, 2004; Gould et al. 1985). Left-handedness probably originated as a species-level character in two common western Atlantic snail groups, whelks (Busycon) and cone shells (Conus), during the Pliocene some 3.5–4.0 Myr ago (Vermeij 1989). The origin of sinistrality in these two clades, ecologically and morphologically similar dextral and sinistral whelk species have coexisted sympatrically, while the trait was lost in cones with the extinction of Conus adversarius about 1.8 Myr ago (figure 1; table 1). The excellent fossil record of these two groups provides a unique opportunity to test the hypothesis that shell-coiling direction is selectively neutral after hatching.

Crabs are the major enemies of both whelks and cones (Magalhaes 1948; Currey & Kohn 1976; Zipser & Vermeij 1980; Dietl 2003), but they, as with so many other predators, are not always successful in killing their prey (Vermeij 1982). They often leave tell-tale signs of failed attacks as jagged scars on the shells of their prey (figure 1). If handedness has no survival-related advantages, we predicted that the incidence of individuals with crab predation scars should not vary significantly between dextral and sinistral species.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We calculated the incidence of shell repair for samples of five whelk and six cone species pairs (totalling 1772 specimens) as the percentage of individuals in a sample with at least one repair scar (Alexander & Dietl 2003; table 1; electronic supplementary material). Since the frequency of shell repair is often size dependent, we also limited our analysis to comparisons of samples with similar mean shell lengths to avoid any potential size-related bias (Dietl 2003; table 1). We only counted major scars that appeared as jagged relief on the surface of the body whorl (Dietl 2003). We assumed that members of each species pair had similar behaviours, growth rates and habitat preferences, such that encounter rates with crab predators in the environment were comparable. These assumptions are reasonable for whelks given what is known about their biology and ecology (Magalhaes 1948; Kent 1983; Kraeuter et al. 1989; Dietl 2003), but are more speculative in nature for the studied cone species.

3. RESULTS

Dextral whelk and cone species typically have higher frequencies of shell repair than sinistral species (figure 2); only one of 11 samples showed the opposite pattern—higher repair frequencies in the left-handed species of the pair. We reject our null hypothesis that scars are present in equal proportions in left- and right-handed snails (binomial test, p < 0.05).

4. DISCUSSION

Surprisingly, the results do not support the hypothesis that shell-coiling direction is selectively neutral. A higher incidence of death for left-handed snails that were attacked by crabs seems unlikely to explain this pattern given the similar morphologies (i.e. shell defences against predators) of left- and right-handed whelks and cones (figure 1). These results suggest that left-handed coiling may be advantageous for escaping crab predation.

We suggest that handedness is important when snails encounter laterally asymmetric shell-peeling crab predators, which were common enemies of subtropical American whelks and cones during the Plio–Pleistocene (Dietl 2003; Portell & Agnew 2004). Sinistrality provides a competitive edge in survivorship because the asymmetric claw that crab predators use to peel their prey’s shell is typically on the right-hand side of their bodies (Ng & Tan 1985; Shigemiya 2003). This tendency for right-handedness in crab predators leaves them at a disadvantage in breaking
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the shell lip of sinistrally coiled snails (Ng & Tan 1985). This disadvantage would be especially pronounced in the Calappidae, which use a unique set of adventitious protuberances on their right claws to peel the shell of their prey (Shoup 1968).

The 'sinistral advantage' identified here thus occurs at the interface of interaction between a predator and its prey, and is not realized unless species of opposite handedness interact. We can think of two possibilities, albeit not mutually exclusive, to explain this advantage.

First, our preliminary observations of the box crab Calappa flammea attacking whelks (Busycon carica and Sinistrofulgur sinistrum) suggest that the frequency of shell repair was probably higher in dextral species because sinistral species are more difficult for right-handed crabs to manipulate into a position to start the shell-peeling process. Three crabs (85–95 mm in carapace width) were observed to pick up individuals of the left-handed S. sinistrum (43–48 mm shell length) and abandon them prior to inflicting shell damage that would later have to be repaired by the surviving snail. However, right-handed B. carica of similar size were readily opened by the same crabs. This behaviour makes sense in light of what we know about the shell-peeling behaviour of calappids.

Calappids typically orient the shell of their prey with the aperture facing up and the spire of the shell pointing away from the crab's body, which positions the lip or edge of the aperture on the right-hand side (Shoup 1968). This positioning allows the crab to insert its right dactyl into the aperture to break the shell. In this position, the prey's shell also has to be rolled in the opposite direction than a right-handed snail. Only if the prey's shell is flipped around so that the spire of the shell now points towards the crab's body would the lip of the sinistral shell aperture be oriented like a dextral shell prior to the initiation of peeling by the crab. In this orientation, however, the crab has less control over the prey's shell, which is for the most part directed away from the crab's body. This behaviour of not wasting time and energy in attempting to peel the snail's shell if more easily captured prey are available is very common among many taxa of crabs that preferentially select prey of various sizes and shapes (Thomas & Himmelman 1988).

A second possibility is that the handedness advantage may stem simply from the fact that left-handed prey are less commonly encountered than right-handed prey. This advantage parallels some social interactions in human cultures that result when right- and left-handed individuals compete, especially in sports or fights involving dual active contests such as boxing, tennis, fencing and baseball), where left-handers occasionally enjoy an advantage over their right-handed opponents (Grouios et al. 2000; McManus 2002; Gould 2003; Brooks et al. 2004; Faurie & Raymond 2005). Crab predators 'know' much less about sinistral prey than sinistral prey know about their predators. This information inequality gives sinistral prey a competitive edge. In other words, from the left-handed snail's point of view, nothing is different in an interaction with its predator—right-handedness is the typical form for most of the predatory crab species that a sinistral snail might encounter in its lifetime. Right-handed crabs on the other hand do not share this luxury. Most prey they encounter in a lifetime are right-handed such that they have less experience manipulating sinistral prey should they encounter them. This advantage provides a historical role for frequency-dependent success of the prey in escaping predation in the maintenance of handedness by natural selection.

If selectively advantageous, why is left-handedness then so rare, especially in tropical seas where crab predation is ecologically important? We do not have an answer to this reasonable question, but we find some solace in knowing that we are not alone in our puzzlement. D'Arcy Wentworth Thompson captured the state of our understanding, which seems appropriate even today, when he wrote:

But why, in the general run of shells, all the world over, in the past and in the present, one direction of twist is so overwhelmingly commoner than the other, no man knows (Thompson 1943, p. 821).

Our findings do emphasize, however, that when reversals in shell coiling lead to the formation of new species, they may have important and unexpected adaptive consequences that lead to interesting relationships between selection and morphological asymmetry. The survival advantage of left-handedness suggests that natural and sexual selection processes act to reinforce rather than oppose (Darwin 1871) each other in maintaining species-level sinistrality in
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