Therapeutic antimicrobial peptides may compromise natural immunity
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Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) have been proposed as a promising new class of antimicrobials despite warnings that therapeutic use could drive the evolution of pathogens resistant to our own immunity peptides. Using experimental evolution, we demonstrate that Staphylococcus aureus rapidly evolved resistance to pexiganan, a drug-candidate for diabetic leg ulcer infections. Evolved resistance was costly in terms of impaired growth rate, but costs-of-resistance were completely ameliorated by compensatory adaptation. Crucially, we show that, in some populations, experimentally evolved resistance to pexiganan provided S. aureus with cross-resistance to human-neutrophil-defensin-1, a key component of the innate immune response to infection. This unintended consequence of therapeutic use could drastically undermine our innate immune system’s ability to prevent and control clear microbial infections. Our results therefore highlight grave potential risks of AMP therapies, with implications for their development.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are small cationic molecules produced as part of the innate immune response. AMPs display cidal activity against viral, bacterial and fungal pathogens, but despite exposure to AMPs during infection, levels of resistance in natural microbial populations are typically low [1,2]. This has led to the assumption that resistance to AMPs cannot evolve, or that high costs-of-resistance prevent persistence of resistant strains [2]. Therefore synthetic AMPs, often derived from naturally occurring AMPs, are seen as good alternatives to antibiotics and will be clinically available, by some estimates, within 10 years [1,3]. However, therapeutic use is likely to drive the evolution of resistance in a strong selection direction for resistance thereby driving its evolution [4], while compensatory mutations could ameliorate associated costs-of-resistance [2]. Of graver concern than resistance to the therapy itself, though, is the untested potential for evolved cross-resistance to our own immunity peptides. This could undermine our innate immune system’s ability to prevent superficial infections progressing into life-threatening systemic disease [2].

Pexiganan is a synthetic AMP derived from frog magainin, and has been proposed as a candidate treatment for diabetic leg ulcer infections [5–7]. While such infections are often polymicrobial, Staphylococcus aureus is the predominant pathogen [7]. Neutrophils are recruited to the site of infection following an inflammatory response [8], where they take up bacterial cells as well as degranulate, releasing a suite of bactericidal proteins and antimicrobial peptides [9]. To determine whether AMP therapy selects for cross-resistance to a host peptide, we experimentally evolved resistance to pexiganan in S. aureus and then tested evolved strains for cross-resistance to human-neutrophil-defensin-1 (HNP-1). It is important to note that HNP-1 and pexiganan belong to structurally distinct classes of antimicrobial peptide with contrasting modes of action [10]. Additionally, we tested whether there were costs associated with pexiganan resistance, and whether costs-of-resistance could be ameliorated by compensatory adaptation in absence of pexiganan.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Resistance selection experiment
All experiments were performed in cation-adjusted Mueller–Hinton broth and incubated at 37 °C with continuous shaking (200 r.p.m.). A S. aureus nasal carriage isolate was streaked on agar to randomly isolate eight independent colonies that were stored in 15 per cent glycerol at ~80 °C. Eight 2 ml cultures were founded with approximately 10^7 isogenic cells of one of the previously selected colonies and propagated by serial transfer. Six replicate populations were supplemented with increasing concentrations of pexiganan acetate, and two controls were propagated in the absence of AMP. From a startin population of 16 mg ml^{-1} pexiganan acetate, every 48 h we transferred 2 per cent of each culture to two flasks containing fresh medium with either the same concentration of peptide as used previously, or a twofold increased concentration. When growth was observed in the higher concentration this culture was used for subsequent inoculation. This procedure was repeated until populations grew for two consecutive transfers in 1024 mg ml^{-1} of peptide.

(b) Quantifying pexiganan minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and costs-of-resistance
Pexiganan minimal inhibitory concentrations for ancestral and evolved bacteria (stored in 15% glycerol at ~80 °C) were determined by microtitre broth dilution methods using standard protocols [11] slightly modified to use cation-adjusted Mueller–Hinton broth and 96-well polypropylene microtitre plates. Maximal growth rate (V_{MAX}) of ancestral and evolved bacteria was quantified in the absence of pexiganan acetate in a 96-well plate reader (BioTec ELx808). For each well, optical density (600 nm) was taken every 5 min and V_{MAX} was estimated during exponential growth from log-e transformed optical density values.

(c) Compensatory adaptation selection experiment
To test whether costs-of-resistance could be ameliorated, three independent populations from the population with the highest cost-of-resistance (SA3; see E3) and its ancestral clone were used to initiate five replicate populations each. Populations were propagated as 10 ml cultures by daily transfer of 0.1 per cent of each population for ten transfers in the absence of pexiganan acetate. Pexiganan MIC and V_{MAX} were estimated for founding and evolved bacteria.

(d) Quantifying cross-resistance
Evolved bacteria and each founding ancestral clone were examined for susceptibility to HNP-1, which is routinely determined by bactericidal assays [12]. Overnight cultures were diluted 100-fold and allowed to grow for 3 h in order for cells to reach mid log phase. After washing, the cultures were diluted in fresh medium to final cell density approximately 3 x 10^8 colony forming units ml^-1 and added to a 96-well polypropylene microtitre plate. HNP-1 (end concentration: 50 µg ml^{-1}) or purified water (control) was added and cultures were grown for 4 h. At 0 and 4 h, dilutions were plated on brain heart infusion agar plates to estimate viable cell densities. Growth was calculated by dividing 4 h log-density by 0 h log-density. Resistance was calculated as the ratio of growth in the presence versus absence of HNP-1.
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antibiotics, which may select solely for cross-resistance to other antibiotics, resistance to therapeutic AMPs may commonly compromise our natural immune defences. This unintended consequence of therapeutic use may fundamentally alter the interaction with our commensal microbiome, and potentiate a rise in opportunistic infection. Therefore, while AMP therapies may prove to be efficacious in the short term, their use could have catastrophic longer term consequences for our ability to control microbial infections.
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