Predation as the primary selective force in recurrent evolution of gigantism in Poecilozonites land snails in Quaternary Bermuda
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During the last half million years, pulses of gigantism in the anagenetic lineage of land snails of the subgenus Poecilozonites on Bermuda were correlated with glacial periods when lower sea level resulted in an island nearly an order of magnitude larger than at present. During those periods, the island was colonized by large vertebrate predators that created selection pressure for large size and rapid growth in the snails. Extreme reduction in land area from rising seas, along with changes in ecological conditions at the onset of interglacial episodes, marked extinction events for large predators, after which snails reverted to much smaller size. The giant snails were identical in morphology during the last two glacial periods when the predators included a large flightless rail Rallus recessus (marine isotope stages (MIS) 4-2) and a crane Grus latipes and a duck Anas pachyseles (MIS 6). In a preceding glacial period (MIS 10), when the fauna also included the tortoise Hesperothereste bermudae, the snails were not only large, but the shells were much thicker, presumably to prevent crushing by tortoises. Evolution of Poecilozonites provides an outstanding example of dramatic morphological change in response to environmental pressures in the absence of cladogenesis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

On the isolated North Atlantic island of Bermuda, 1000 km east–southeast of North Carolina, USA, land snails of the family Zonitidae, genus and subgenus Poecilozonites, evolved a remarkable diversity of shell sizes and shapes during the Pleistocene that Gould (1969, p. 407) considered to be an example of ‘an adaptive radiation comparable in scope with the classic insular speciation and ecologic differentiation of Darwin’s finches’. With much more extensive collecting and refinement of stratigraphy and chronology (Hearty et al. 2004; Hearty & Olson in press), we established that this supposed radiation consists of a single anagenetic series with highly divergent shell morphologies that do not overlap in time (Hearty & Olson in press).

Changes in shell morphology in Poecilozonites are correlated with drastic changes in island area and environmental conditions caused by eustatic sea-level changes during the Pleistocene. During glacial periods, sea levels fall below the level of the Bermuda platform creating a large island with an area of >650 km². During interglacial highstands, island area is reduced by an order of magnitude to a few tens of kilometres square—56 km² at present with even greater reductions during interglacial marine isotope stages (MIS) 5e, 9 and 11 (figure 1).

The predominant interglacial snail morphotypes (WALS, CUPU, COID/TRIA, ZONA and BERM), known from the basal-most rocks in Bermuda, and from MIS 11, 9, 5 and 1 (figure 1), although varying somewhat in shell shape, are all about the same general medium-size averaging from 17.4 to 20.1 mm in maximum shell width (Hearty & Olson in press). During the three glacial periods for which there is a fossil record, these snails became gigantic, with shell widths averaging from 31.8 to 39.9 mm. Shell weights of NELA, for example, were four times or more greater than in the interglacial ZONA that preceded it (Olson & Hearty 2007). Although known collectively as *P. nelsoni*, these giant morphotypes evolved independently (Hearty et al. 2004; Hearty & Olson in press). Here, we argue that this iterative gigantism was the result of selection pressure from vertebrate predators that colonized and evolved during glacial periods when the land area of Bermuda was at its maximum.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chronostratigraphy, shell morphometrics and vertebrate paleontology are treated in our previous papers (Hearty et al. 2004; Olson et al. 2005; Olson & Hearty 2007; Hearty & Olson in press). Because the morphotypes of subgenus Poecilozonites are only temporal manifestations of a single anagenetic lineage, binomial or trinomial designations are inappropriate so we designated them by four-letter abbreviations (Hearty & Olson in press), which we have followed here.

3. RESULTS

During the last glacial period (MIS 2-4), the giant shells of NELA occur in association with the large, flightless rail Rallus recessus (Olson & Wingate 2001), which evolved from the large North American rails of the *Rallus longirostris/elegans* complex. These omni-vores include snails in the diet (Eddleman & Conway 2002) and are capable of ingesting entire snails the size of ZONA (figure 2), the morph that prevailed through the preceding interglacial (MIS 5).

During the penultimate glacial period (MIS 6), snails (NEL6) were also gigantic and identical in size and shape to NEL4 (Hearty et al. 2004; Hearty & Olson in press). MIS 6 in Bermuda was characterized by the ‘crane fauna’ (Olson et al. 2005) that included an endemic crane Grus latipes and an endemic duck *Anas pachyseles* (Wetmore 1960). The latter was a large dabbling duck the size of a mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) or the North American black duck (*Anas rubripes*), which include snails in the diet (Longcore et al. 2000; Drilling et al. 2002) and are large enough to ingest entire snails the size of interglacial morphs of *Poecilozonites* (figure 2).
Bermuda crane was derived from the North American sandhill crane (*Grus canadensis*), which also eats snails (Tacha *et al.* 1992) and was roughly similar in size (Wetmore 1960). Although fully capable of ingesting snails the size of interglacial morphs of *Poecilozonites*, even a larger species of crane would not have been capable of swallowing giant snails the size of NEL6 or NEL4 (figure 2).

The giant morph *P. nelsoni callosus* (CALL) occurs in the basal sediments of interglacial MIS 9 (Hearty & Olson in press) and we assume that it was probably present during glacial period MIS 10.
4. DISCUSSION
Temperature, humidity and rainfall patterns would have fluctuated to some degree between glacial and interglacial periods, but the effects of most of those variables on shell evolution in land snails are often ambiguous (Goodfriend 1986). We rejected Gould’s (1969) hypothesis that reduced availability of calcium carbonate during glacial periods was a limiting factor in snail evolution on Bermuda based on several different lines of evidence (Olson & Hearty 2007). Therefore, we consider that predation was the factor most likely to have been selecting for gigantism in *Poecilozonites*.

The simplest response of a snail to increased predation pressure would be an increase in size (Vermeij 1987, 1993), so that selection would favour snails too large to swallow whole. Rapid growth would be advantageous to achieve large size as quickly as possible and in some snails a more rapid growth rate also results in larger adult shell size (Goodfriend 1986). In a perhaps analogous situation, populations of lizards (*Podarcis guajae*) on certain small islands of the Aegean evolved giant forms that were thought to be the product of increased resource availability, leading to increased population densities and intraspecific competition (Pafilis et al. 2009), which involved increased cannibalism so that the proximate cause of rapid growth to a larger size was increased predation (Pafilis et al. 2009).

In the process of escalation (Vermeij 1987), predators may evolve new adaptations for processing shells to circumvent the responses of their prey, but on Bermuda the cycle of escalation was broken by habitat loss and extinction of predators during interglacials owing to loss of land area and prime habitat. The major interglacial inundations of MIS 11, 9, 5 and 1 are all associated with extinction events on Bermuda (Olson & Wingate 2000, 2001; Olson & Hearty, 2003; Hearty et al. 2004; Olson et al. 2005) so that the tortoise of MIS 10/9, the crane and duck of MIS 6 and the large rail of MIS 4-2 became extinct (figure 1) and do not occur in succeeding interglacial deposits. Differential extinction of predators during interglacial periods may have occurred because in the ‘final demise of species, reduction in suitable habitats should place high energy species (with larger body size and) relatively small population sizes, at greatest risk’ (Vermeij 1987, p. 393).

Selection for smaller size in interglacials may have involved a combination of factors. Lomolino (1984) argued that ecological release in the absence of predators is possibly more prevalent than previously realized. Another factor may involve ‘optimum body size for energy acquisition’ (Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios 2007, p. 186) such as has been suggested for insular mammals (Damuth 1993). *Poecilozonites* was small concurrently with reduced land area when the environment of Bermuda became much more maritime, introducing stresses from reduced diversity of vegetation, increased salt load, periodic drying or flooding, and perhaps increased population densities of snails with reduction of land area. In some snails, reduction in shell size is known to be population density dependent (Goodfriend 1986). Although a number of interacting variables may have facilitated the observed anagenetic pulses in shell size in *Poecilozonites* on Bermuda, predation, or the lack thereof, seems likely to be the most important process of evolutionary change in this lineage during the late Pleistocene and Holocene. The endemic subgenus *Poecilozonites* on Bermuda provides an extraordinary example of dramatic evolutionary change in response to environmental variables within a single lineage and a reminder that such evolutionary changes do not necessarily involve a process of speciation.

Bird carcasses used to determine swallowing capability were salvaged in compliance with federal and state laws and under the guidance of the Smithsonian Institutions’ Animal Care and Use Committee.
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