Conservatism of lizard thermal tolerances and body temperatures across evolutionary history and geography
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Species may exhibit similar thermal tolerances via either common ancestry or environmental filtering and local adaptation, if the species inhabit similar environments. We ask whether upper and lower thermal limits (critical thermal maxima and minima) and body temperatures are more strongly conserved across evolutionary history or geography for lizard populations distributed globally. We find that critical thermal maxima are highly conserved with location accounting for a higher proportion of the variation than phylogeny. Notably, thermal tolerance breadth is conserved across the phylogeny despite critical thermal minima showing little niche conservatism. Body temperatures observed during activity in the field show the greatest degree of conservatism, with phylogeny accounting for most of the variation. This suggests that propensities for thermoregulatory behaviour, which can buffer body temperatures from environmental variation, are similar within lineages. Phylogeny and geography constrain thermal tolerances similarly within continents, but variably within clades. Conservatism of thermal tolerances across lineages suggests that the potential for local adaptation to alleviate the impacts of climate change on lizards may be limited.

1. Introduction

Similarities in species’ thermal niches across evolutionary history (phylogenetic niche conservatism [1]) and geography can aid in forecasting responses to climate change. Thermal physiology and the magnitude of recent warming successfully predict patterns of recent lizard extinction, potentially owing to climate change restricting the activity of species with low upper thermal limits [2]. Lizard thermal physiologies exhibit conservatism across both phylogeny and geography as some forest-dwelling, non-basking lineages remaining restricted to the tropics and open-habitat, basking lineages extending into temperate zones [3]. Lineages with phylogenetically conserved thermal tolerances may be unlikely to adapt locally to climate change. Species with strong geographical gradients in thermal tolerance may be more likely to use dispersal and adaptation to track their environmental niches through climate change.

Does evolutionary history or geography better explain global patterns of lizard thermal physiology? We use a statistical method [4] to partition variance into spatial and phylogenetic contributions for the following four thermal metrics. We consider the upper and lower thermal limits on performance (CT_{max} and CT_{min}), which ranges from 33.4°C to 51.0°C and 1.9°C to 14.1°C respectively, as well as the distance (°C) between these limits (thermal tolerance breadth, TTB). CT_{max} and CT_{min} determine how the environment influences fitness as well as susceptibility to acute thermal stress [5]. We additionally consider activity body temperature (T_b), which range from 14.5°C to 42.1°C. While T_b is sometimes used as a more readily available proxy for thermal tolerances [2], it is additionally influenced by microclimate selection.
The propensity for such thermoregulatory behavior may be phylogenetically conserved, and may enable coping with climate change through environmental buffering [6]. Conversely, this buffering may reduce selection for elevated CT$_{\text{max}}$ [7] and ultimately preclude the evolutionary responses necessary to cope with long-term climate change.

Broad-scale patterns of temperature means and seasonality both pose strong selection on thermal physiology [3,5,8]. Indeed, the CT$_{\text{max}}$ of reptiles relates to thermal variability, whereas CT$_{\text{min}}$ relates to mean annual temperature [9]. The limited temperature seasonality in the tropics selects for reduced TTB [3,5]. We first use distance as a proxy (‘geographical space’) for environmental similarity as location captures trends in both means and seasonality. We then examine environmental similarity directly.

2. Material and methods

We analyzed 481 $T_b$ measures for 254 lizard species from 34 families [2] (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1). We expanded a previous database [8] to include CT$_{\text{max}}$, CT$_{\text{min}}$ and TTB values for 68, 60 and 60 species, respectively (see the electronic supplementary material, table S2). We used a supertree of squamate reptiles constructed using matrix representation parsimony analysis and dated based on fossils and molecular data [10]. For each observation, we extracted annual means of daily minimum, mean and maximum air temperatures as well as seasonality calculated as the variance of monthly mean temperatures (0.5° resolution, which is sufficient resolution to account for broad elevational differences, IIASA database A03). We additionally combined these measures into a single principle component describing the environment (‘temperature space’).

We conducted our analysis in R v 2.13.1 [11] using the package APE [12]. We determined the relative contribution of space and phylogeny to variation in each metric using a method derived from phylogenetically independent contrasts (PIC) [4]. The following method (equation (2.7) of [4]) produces three positive parameter estimates ($\varphi$, $\lambda'$ and $\gamma$), which sum to 1 and describe the variance in the metric as follows:

$$V(\varphi, \lambda) = \gamma h + \lambda' \Sigma + \varphi W.$$  

(eqn 2.7 in [4])

Here, $\varphi$ represents the relative contribution of spatial effects, $\lambda' = (1 - \varphi)\lambda$ represents the relative phylogenetic contribution, and $\gamma = (1 - \varphi)(1 - \lambda)$ represents the relative contribution of effects independent of both phylogeny and space. $V$, $\Sigma$ and $W$ are variance–covariance matrices describing the expectation, phylogenetic distances and spatial distances, respectively. $h$ is a vector of the heights of tips on the phylogeny. The $\lambda$ used in calculating $\lambda'$ is Pagel’s [13] estimate of phylogenetic signal. We additionally estimate Blomberg’s $K$ because it is a commonly implemented metric that indicates the amount of phylogenetic signal in the tip data relative to the expectation ($K = 1$) for a trait that evolved by Brownian motion [14]. We assessed significance by comparing the variance of independent contrasts for 1000 randomized (tip-swapped) trees with that of the observed trees (phylosignal function in R package picante). We computed PIC to examine correlations between the thermal metrics (R package APE). Correlations were estimated based on 1000 iterations to account for randomization.

Because the analysis requires a binary phylogeny, we resolved polytomies using a birth–death model of diversification (methods follow [15]) and repeated the analyses over 2500 potential phylogenies. $T_b$ values were randomly selected (when multiple values were available for a single species) for each of the potential phylogenies. We estimated $\varphi$, $\lambda'$ and $\gamma$ assuming...
that the traits followed a gradual Brownian motion model of evolution (branch lengths proportional to time).

3. Results and discussion

We find that body temperatures are less conserved across geography than evolutionary history (figure 1). Phylogeny accounts for most of the variation in $T_b$ patterns ($\varphi = 0.887$, $\lambda' = 0.877$ and $\gamma = 0.036$, figure 2). The strong phylogenetic signal of $T_b$ ($K = 1.075$, $p = 0.001$) is notable because one would expect body temperatures to be strongly influenced by air and surface temperatures, which exhibit pronounced geographical gradients. However, many lizard species are able to maintain their preferred body temperatures through behavioural thermoregulation and habitat selection [16–18]. The propensity for thermoregulatory behaviour varies between lineages [3], but its phylogenetic conservatism has not been formally assessed.

The influence of phylogeny and geography varies between thermal tolerance metrics. Space accounts for more variation in $CT_{\text{max}}$ than phylogeny ($\varphi = 0.549$, $\lambda' = 0.270$ and $\gamma = 0.181$; figure 2a). $CT_{\text{min}}$ shows little conservatism across either phylogeny or space ($\varphi = 0.073$, $\lambda' = 0.009$ and $\gamma = 0.917$). Interestingly, $T_b$ shows a greater contribution of phylogeny than either $CT_{\text{max}}$ or $CT_{\text{min}}$ ($\varphi = 0.083$, $\lambda' = 0.547$ and $\gamma = 0.369$). $CT_{\text{max}}$ ($K = 0.585$, $p = 0.001$), $CT_{\text{min}}$ ($K = 0.427$, $p = 0.02$) and $TTB$ ($K = 0.458$, $p = 0.002$) exhibit significant phylogenetic conservatism, but to a lesser extent than $T_b$. A previous, counterintuitive result is that both $CT_{\text{min}}$ and $CT_{\text{max}}$ increase with latitude, because many temperate lizards are basking species that inhabit warm and thermally variable desert environments [3]. The conservation of thermoregulatory behaviour across lineages may alter selection and thus geographical patterns of thermal tolerance. Patterns of conservatism are similar when we consider temperature space rather than geography (see figure 2b and electronic supplementary material, table S3) with several exceptions. Habitat selection may cause more thermal similarity than would be expected based on geography and account for these exceptions. Indeed, environmental temperatures account for more of the variation in $T_b$ than geography.

We used PIC (standardized) to investigate why $TTB$ exhibits greater phylogenetic conservatism than $CT_{\text{max}}$ or $CT_{\text{min}}$ (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S1). We find that lizards have a broad $TTB$ owing to either a low $CT_{\text{min}}$ ($F_{1,52} = 117$, $p < 10^{-15}$ and $r^2 = 0.69$) or high $CT_{\text{max}}$ ($F_{1,52} = 18.2$, $p < 10^{-4}$ and $r^2 = 0.26$). However, $CT_{\text{min}}$ and $CT_{\text{max}}$ are not correlated ($p = 0.70$). We find that $T_b$ is positively correlated with $CT_{\text{max}}$ ($p < 0.01$, $r^2 = 0.56$) but is not related to $CT_{\text{min}}$ ($p = 0.4$). These findings suggest that narrow $TTB$, which confers sensitivity to climate warming, is not associated with a particular range of thermal tolerance.

The importance of the thermal metrics as determinants of activity time and thermal stress varies latitudinally, and is likely to shift through climate warming: $CT_{\text{min}}$ may become less important as a constraint on activity in temperate areas, whereas $CT_{\text{max}}$ is likely to become increasingly important as a determinant of thermal stress in the tropics [6].

Is $T_b$ conservatism similar within geographical and phylogenetic subsets (see figure 2c and electronic supplementary material, table S3)? The importance of phylogeny persists within North America ($n = 71$, $\varphi = 0.027$, $\lambda' = 0.946$ and $\gamma = 0.027$) and South America ($n = 40$, $\varphi = 0.067$, $\lambda' = 0.741$ and $\gamma = 0.192$). Greater conservatism across geographical and temperature space occurs in Australia, perhaps owing to its pronounced aridity gradient ($n = 62$, $\varphi = 0.451$, $\lambda' = 0.413$ and $\gamma = 0.136$ for geographical space). Patterns of conservatism are more variable across clades (defined by Sites et al. [19]). Conservatism was substantially stronger across phylogeny than geography for the Anguimorpha ($n = 23$) and Scincoida ($n = 67$) clades, and somewhat stronger for Lacertoida ($n = 36$). Estimates of conservatism varied between geographical and temperature space for Iguania ($n = 96$), and were generally low for Gekkota ($n = 27$). These results suggest that thermoregulatory behaviours diverged deep within the lizard phylogeny and have persisted through colonization and
radiations on different continents. The thermal niches of ants (A ~ 0.9) [20] and amphibians [21] also exhibit phylogenetic conservatism, whereas geography is more important for mammals [22].

Our analysis suggests that thermal tolerances are conserved deep within evolutionary history rather than being determined by ecological filtering, dispersal, or local adaptation (perhaps due to limits to adaptation). The potential for local adaptation to alleviate the impacts of climate change on lizards may be limited [23] particularly in the tropics [3,17], where narrow TTBs can correspond to low genetic variation and limited evolutionary potential [24]. However, strong phylogenetic signal in body temperatures suggests that some lineages may effectively use thermoregulation to avoid thermal stress [6].
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