Homosexual behaviour increases male attractiveness to females
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Male homosexual behaviour—although found in most extant clades across the Animal Kingdom—remains a conundrum, as same-sex mating should decrease male reproductive fitness. In most species, however, males that engage in same-sex sexual behaviour also mate with females, and in theory, same-sex mating could even increase male reproductive fitness if males improve their chances of future heterosexual mating. Females regularly use social information to choose a mate; e.g. male attractiveness increases after a male has interacted sexually with a female (mate choice copying). Here, we demonstrate that males of the tropical freshwater fish *Poecilia mexicana* increase their attractiveness to females not only by opposite-sex, but likewise, through same-sex interactions. Hence, direct benefits for males of exhibiting homosexual behaviour may help explain its occurrence and persistence in species in which females rely on mate choice copying as one component of mate quality assessment.

1. Introduction

Woody Allen once said ‘bisexuality immediately doubles your chances for a date on Saturday night’ and, even if he meant something else, he could nonetheless be right if homosexual behaviour were also to increase the chances of future heterosexual interactions. Male homosexual behaviour can be found in most extant classes across the Animal Kingdom [1,2], but represents a Darwinian puzzle as same-sex mating should decrease male reproductive fitness [3,4]. Several adaptive hypotheses have been forwarded to explain the persistence and frequency of homosexual behaviour (thoroughly reviewed in [4]). However, males that engage in homosexual behaviour often also mate with females, thus exclusive homosexuality is not the rule [1,4].

In gregarious animals, female mate choice often integrates social information gathered while observing potential mates interacting with other individuals [5–7]. This helps females to evaluate male reproductive quality and suitability as a potential mating partner, and it also reduces the costs associated with mate searching [8]. For example, female fish (*Poecilia latipinna*) prefer not only males whom they observed consorting with conspecific females, but also with females of the related unisexual *Poecilia formosa* [7], a phenomenon termed mate choice copying [8,9]. So, if females have a predilection to associate with males they saw mating—even with heterospecific females—mating behaviour *per se* seems to have gained signal value. Indeed, displaying mating behaviour conveys information not only about a male’s readiness to mate, but also mate quality, as its performance is associated with costs [10,11]. However, female choice and male dominance hierarchies often prevent subordinate males from accessing females [12]. In this context, an as yet unexplored hypothesis is that less attractive and/or subordinate males could exploit female mate choice copying, rendering themselves more attractive through the performance
of homosexual mating behaviour and thus increasing their chances of reproducing. We tested this idea in the internally fertilizing, livebearing fish *Poecilia mexicana*, a species with a promiscuous mating system and female mate choice copying [13]. Homosexual behaviour is regularly seen in subordinate (typically cryptically coloured and small-bodied) *P. mexicana* males [14] (see the electronic supplementary material), while colourful and large-bodied males aggressively defend shoals of females, thereby preventing subordinate males from accessing them. Females show a mating preference for large, typically dominant males [15], which could lead subordinate (small to medium-sized) males—residing at the periphery of female shoals and being largely unable to approach females directly—to exploit homosexual behaviour as a strategy to solicit consensual mating. Using binary association preference tests, we asked if males’ attractiveness increases after females saw them interacting sexually with either a female or another male. We further evaluated focal females’ ability to discriminate between male and female animations to ensure that females in our mate choice experiment were able to distinguish between hetero- and homosexual situations.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study organism

Test animals were laboratory-reared descendants of fish collected near Tampico in northeastern Mexico and from the southern Mexican Rio Oxolotán [16]. During homosexual interactions, poeciliid males typically make oral contact with another male’s copulatory organ (a pre-mating behaviour called ‘nipping’) —just like they regularly nip at the female genital opening prior to copulating—or may try to copulate with other males [17]. As genital nipping is the most frequent sexual behaviour in *P. mexicana* [17], we used this behaviour for animations showing either heterosexual or homosexual interactions (for detailed description of *P. mexicana* mating behaviour, see the electronic supplementary material).

(b) Experiment 1: female mate choice

Using a dichotomous choice design, we first evaluated female preferences (standard length (SL): 45.6 ± 0.7 mm; *n* = 92) for two virtual stimulus males (sized on screen to 30.0 mm SL each) by showing each focal female video animations of a male with either 50 per cent reduced or 50 per cent increased colour intensity and measured association preferences for 10 min (with switched side assignment after 5 min, see the electronic supplementary material). As predicted, most females preferred to associate with the more colourful male type (92 out of 116 trials, see the electronic supplementary material), and only those trials were further considered. During the subsequent second part of the tests, females were randomly assigned to one of three different treatments and for a period of 10 min (with switched side assignment after 5 min, see the electronic supplementary material) were presented with two animations showing either: (i) the drab and the colourful male swimming alongside another, slightly larger (40.0 mm SL) and immediately colourful model male (control); (ii) the drabber male performing heterosexual behaviour with a model female (40.0 mm SL), whereas the more colourful male was swimming alongside the model male; or (iii) the drabber male performing homosexual behaviour (i.e. pre-copulatory nipping) with a model male, whereas the more colourful male was swimming alongside the model male. In the third part of the tests we re-measured female preferences for the same two animations shown in the first part (measurement of 10 min with changing of side assignments after 5 min). We used 12 different video animations per treatment (see the electronic supplementary material).

(c) Experiment 2: discrimination between female and male video animations

Our results prompted the question as to whether focal females discriminate between observed hetero- and homosexual interactions, i.e. between the video-animated model males and females. In a dichotomous choice test (experiment 2), we thus measured association preferences of *P. mexicana* females from the Rio Oxolotán population (43.3 ± 0.8 mm SL; *n* = 10) for animated shoals showing either five males or five females swimming side-by-side over a period of 10 min (with switched side assignments after 5 min). We used the same model animals as in experiment 1 to create the animations, and SL of all model individuals were sized on screen to 40 mm.

(d) Statistical analyses

To compare focal females’ change in preference for the drabber stimulus male in experiment 1, we calculated a copying score (fraction of time spent near drab male during 2nd preference test—fraction of time spent near drab male during 1st preference test [13]) and used a general linear model (GLM) with arcsine (square root)-transformed copying scores as the dependent variable and ‘treatment’ (three levels) as a fixed factor. We included ‘population’ (two levels) as another factor, which had no significant effect. Furthermore, we initially included ‘focal female body size’ as a covariate, but removed it from the final model as it had no significant effect. To control for an effect of the different stimulus animations, we included ‘video ID’ as a random factor in population-wise GLM’s, but again did not detect a significant effect (see the electronic supplementary material).

Times spent near each animation in experiment 2 were compared using paired-sample t-test. See the electronic supplementary material for a full description of the methods and the complete results.

3. Results

(a) Experiment 1: female mate choice

We compared the increase in strength of females’ preferences for the drabber male (copying score) and found a significant difference among treatments (GLM; mean square = 0.811, *F*<sub>2,88</sub> = 8.308, *p* < 0.001). *Post hoc* Fisher’s LSD tests uncovered a significant increase in the drabber male’s attractiveness not only in the heterosexual interaction treatment compared with the control treatment (*p* < 0.001), but also in the homosexual interaction treatment (*p* = 0.002; figure 1). No statistically significant difference was detected between homosexual and heterosexual treatments (*p* = 0.678).

(b) Experiment 2: discrimination between female and male video animations

Females in experiment 2 spent 266.1 ± 29.7 s near the animated female shoal and 151.8 ± 23.6 s near the shoal consisting of males; this difference was statistically significant (*t*-test: *t*<sub>16</sub> = 2.622, *p* = 0.019), indicating females’ ability to discriminate between male and female animated images.
Female mate choice copying—defined as ‘a female… mating with the same male as [an] observed female did before’ [18]—is found in a wide range of species [9], so mating with initiators of male homosexual interactions could also be widespread. As homosexual behaviour is regularly seen in small *P. mexicana* males [14], we speculate that it might represent an alternative mating tactic used by subordinates, and thus, less attractive males to overcome reproductive constraints owing to the intrinsic female mate preference for large, colourful and dominant males [15]. One could argue that small males initiating homosexual behaviour would face harsh aggressive responses by the male they approach, especially when approaching a larger male. However, the intensity of male aggressive interactions in *P. mexicana* is highest in combats between two large (dominant) males and is considerably lower in small males. Also, fight intensity decreases with increasing body size difference of the opponents [19]. An alternative explanation of our results could be that females exhibit a general preference for increased male activity levels even in a non-sexual context. However, because all males moved with roughly comparable swimming velocity in all treatments and differed only in whether or not they would approach a male or a female, this explanation seems rather unlikely.

Exclusive male homosexuality is thus far only found in humans, sheep [20] and some bird species [3,21], but rates of bisexual male mating behaviour are moderate to high in numerous group-living species, including humans [4], and we suggest that increased female preferences for males showing homosexual interactions may possibly be an intriguing mechanism explaining the adaptive significance of male bisexual behaviour in at least some of those species.
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