Fish ears are sensitive to sex change
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Many reef fishes change sex during their life. The testing of life-history theory and effective fisheries management therefore relies on our ability to detect when this fundamental transition occurs. This study experimentally illustrates the potential to glean such information from the otolithic bodies of the inner-ear apparatus in the sex-changing fish Parapercis cylindrica. It will now be possible to reconstruct the complete life history of hermaphroditic individuals from hatchling through to terminal reproductive status. The resultant, optically apparent demersal-stage in reef-settling taxa (Wilson & McCormick 1997). The resultant, optically apparent demersal-stage in reef-settling taxa (Wilson & McCormick 1997). The resultant, optically apparent demersal-stage in reef-settling taxa (Wilson & McCormick 1997). The resultant, optically apparent demersal-stage in reef-settling taxa (Wilson & McCormick 1997).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Study species
The sharpnose sandperch P. cylindrica (Family Pinguidipidae) is a common inhabitant of coral reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific (Randall et al. 1997). Females permanently defend all-purpose territories and males defend up to 10 females. All individuals mature first as females, and later change sex to function as males (monandric protogynous hermaphroditism; Walker & McCormick 2004). A strong dominance hierarchy exists within each harem, and sex change is socially mediated (Frisch et al. 2007). Sagittal otolith increment periodicity has been validated as daily, and increments can be optically discerned throughout the fish’s lifespan (less than 500 days; Walker & McCormick 2004). Otolithic growth displays isometric proportionality, and the effect of somatic growth rate on otolith-somatic scaling is negligible (Walker & McCormick 2004).

(b) Experimental regime
Social groups were manipulated by removing the male to induce sex change in the dominant female. This enabled a comparison of otolith growth before and after sex-change induction (male removal) and between sex-changing individuals and non-sex changing females.

To isolate the effect of female density on otolith accretion during sex change, removal experiments were conducted in the laboratory at Lizard Island Research Station. Individuals were collected from the lagoon of Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef (14°40.9’S, 145°26.8’E). Social groups were created in individual 100–150 l microcosms containing equal quantities of rubble and algae per individual: 10 polygynous social groups containing one male and four females (at 85, 80, 75 and 70 ± 0.2 mm total length (TL)); and 10 bigamous social groups (monogamous social groups after male removal) containing one male and two females (at 85 and 80±0.2 mm TL). The male was always the largest individual. After 5 days acclimation, the male was removed from five of the 10 bigamous- and polygynous-social groups to induce sex change. The other five social groups in each treatment served as controls for male removal. At 09.00 h and 15.00 h each day, individuals were fed to satiation with brine shrimp and commercial fish food. Thirty days following the male removal, all individuals were euthanized and measured (mm TL). The sagittal otoliths were removed and stored dry, and the gonads were removed and fixed in a formalin-acetic acid-calcium chloride solution.

To determine the natural relationship between female density and otolith growth during sex change, removal experiments were carried out in the wild. A population displaying a density gradient was mapped over a 2500 m^2 area in the Lizard Island lagoon. All individuals (n = 53) were captured with clove oil anaesthetic and a hand net, sexed (based on coloration), measured with callipers (±0.1 mm TL) and tagged with a subcutaneous tattoo for individual recognition. Three 15 min observations were made on each individual and their territory mapped (in relation to the reference grid) to determine social group membership and female density (the number of females within a 100 m^2 area of the sex-changing fish). The male from each harem was then removed to induce sex change. Thirty days following the male removal all individuals were collected, measured (mm TL) and their sagittal otoliths and gonads were removed and preserved as above.

The gonads of all individuals from the field and laboratory experiments were transversely sectioned at five microns and stained with Myer’s Haematoxylin and Young’s Eosin–Erythrosin. These sections were examined under a compound microscope and individuals were categorized as females or sex-changed individuals based on the presence of characteristic sex cells (Patiño & Takashima 1995).

(c) Otolith diagnosis and analysis
Sagittal otoliths were processed to produce a transverse section perpendicular to the distal–rostral plane, such that daily increments could be observed from the nucleus to the outer margin of the otolith (following the methods of Wilson & McCormick 1997). Calibrated digital images of the otolith sections were then taken.
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3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All non-sex changed individuals displayed full female function and deposited regular, continuous otolith increments during the 30-day experiment (figure 1b,c). By contrast, all sex-changed individuals deposited an optically dense discontinuous zone between 6 and 20 days following male removal, followed by a rapid increase in increment width and a change in the primary growth axis (figure 1b,d,e). Increment width profiles were found to statistically differ between sex changers and non-sex changers in both the wild (RM ANOVA; $F_{1,17} = 122.73, p < 0.01$; figure 1b) and laboratory (figure 1b). Interestingly, female density further influenced otolith accretion among initially equal-sized sex-changed fish in the laboratory: polygynous sex-changers deposited statistically wider daily increments following the discontinuous zone compared to monogamous sex changers. For experimental fish from the wild, regression analysis was used to explore the predictive power of female density on: (i) the time of check mark formation, (ii) somatic growth during sex change, and (iii) otolith growth during sex change.
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For fish from the laboratory experiment, one-way ANOVA was used to compare the time-lag between sex-change induction and signature formation between monogamous sex changers and polygynous sex changers. All increment profiles are significantly different ($p<0.05$) according to the repeated measures ANOVA. Parametric assumptions were explored prior to statistical inference using residual analysis, and when performing repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), the assumption of compound symmetry was tested using Mauchly’s test (Zar 1999). For all analyses, the alpha value was set at 0.05, and all descriptive statistics are presented as the arithmetic mean ± s.e.

Differences in daily otolith growth between treatments from the laboratory and field experiments were analysed with RM ANOVA. For the laboratory experiment, this entailed a comparison of daily otolith growth before and after sex-change induction, and between control non-sex changed dominant females (initial size $= 85 ± 0.2$ mm TL; $n = 10$), sex-changed monogamous individuals (initial size $= 85 ± 0.2$ mm TL; $n = 5$) and dominant sex-changed polygynous individuals (initial size $= 85 ± 0.2$ mm TL; $n = 5$). The field experiment entailed a comparison of daily otolith growth before and after sex-change induction, and between sex-changed individuals (12) and non-sex changed females. Only non-sex changed females larger than 80 mm TL were included in the analysis so as to rule out size- and age-effects ($n = 7$).

For fish from the laboratory experiment, one-way ANOVA was used to compare the time-lag between sex-change induction and signature formation between monogamous sex changers and polygynous sex changers. All increment profiles are significantly different ($p<0.05$) according to the repeated measures ANOVA. Variances are assumed equal (Levene’s test $p > 0.05$). Parametric assumptions were explored prior to statistical inference using residual analysis, and when performing repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), the assumption of compound symmetry was tested using Mauchly’s test (Zar 1999). For all analyses, the alpha value was set at 0.05, and all descriptive statistics are presented as the arithmetic mean ± s.e.

Figure 1. (a) Parapercis cylindrica; female front, male back. (b(i)–(iii)) Mean otolith increment-width profiles ± s.e. for experimental fishes. (b(ii)) Laboratory fish. (b(ii)) Laboratory fish with ‘day’ centred to zero on the sex-change signature. (b(iii)) Fish from the wild. Blue, Non-sex changing control females; grey, monogamous sex changers; black, polygynous sex changers. All increment profiles are significantly different ($p<0.05$) according to the repeated measures ANOVA. (c–e): photomicrographs of the (g), gonads; and (o), otoliths from experimental fishes in the laboratory. All initial sizes $= 85$ mm TL. (c(i)) Control non-sex changing female displaying active oogenesis and (ii) regular otolith increments. (d(i)) Monogamous sex changer displaying partial gonad-transition and (ii) otolith-increment discontinuity (arrow). (e(i)) Polygynous sex-changer displaying complete gonad-transition and (ii) otolith-increment discontinuity (arrow). DO, developing oocytes; MO, mature oocytes; DPO, degenerating previtelogenic oocytes; ROC, remnant oocyte constituents; AB, atretic body; FOL, former ovarian lumen; Spc, spermatocytes; Spz, spermatozoa and PSS, peripheral sperm sinus.

The greater otolith growth of polygynous sex changers compared to monogamous sex changers paralleled a faster gonad-transition rate and greater somatic growth during sex change (figure 1d,e; table 1). Thus, the otolith signature not only acts as a temporal marker of sex change, but also provides information on the magnitude of masculinization during sex change.

The discovery of otolith settlement signatures precipitated major advances in population biology and ecology (Thorrold & Hare 2003). Similarly, sex-change signatures could greatly facilitate theoretical and applied science. To date, biologists and fisheries managers have relied on indirect proxies of the mean age at sex change when performing life-history analysis (e.g. the age or size at which the female- and male-frequency distributions intersect). Unfortunately, such measures overshadow the labile nature of sex-change induction, hence the true relationships between sex change, population dynamics and life-history evolution. Sex-change signatures, coupled with gonad histology, make it possible to explore variance in the size and age at sex change, and individual growth history from hatching through to terminal-reproductive status. This will aid in determining the proximate and ultimate factors which drive sexual form and function, reduce error in individual- and population-fecondity estimates, and provide a means to detect sex-change associated migration. Presently, such studies will be confined to ‘model species’ in which daily otolith patterns are optically discernable throughout the individual’s lifetime (i.e. short lived species). It is possible, however, that the change in otolith growth during sex change is accompanied by a change in otolith chemical composition (see Thorrold & Hare 2003). Thus, the profiling of otolith microchemistry may facilitate the detection of sex-change signatures in longer lived species with optically compact daily increments.

The potential importance of sex-change linked otolith accretion goes beyond its role as a methodological tool in ecology. In individual fish, otoliths are involved in spatial orientation and the detection of sound (Thorrold & Hare 2003). Consequently, otolith development is expected to be directional and highly conservative. Our study therefore, suggests that the readjustment of sensory organelles (i.e. otoliths) and ultimately, sensory range specificity, may be an important component of sex inversion. Variation in otolith growth among sex changers under different social settings is consistent with this idea. Highly polygynous males contend with greater topographic complexity compared to females and monogamous males, and engage in more antagonistic interactions and physical combat (i.e. associated with harem defence; S. P. W. Walker & M. I. McCormick 2005, unpublished data). Thus, the magnitude of change in otolith accretion during sex change may reflect the extent of otolith adjustment necessary to optimize sensory performance in the light of current social and environmental pressures. Investigation of this hypothesis, using an eco-physiological approach (e.g. Wright et al. 2005), will be a focus of future research.
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**Table 1.** Statistical results for the experiment in the wild. (Regression analysis on the relationship between female density and somatic growth during sex change, otolith growth during sex change, the time lag between sex-change induction and signature formation.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>predictor</th>
<th>dependant</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>model</th>
<th>r²</th>
<th>F(1,10)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>female density (100 m⁻²)</td>
<td>otolith growth (µm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>y = 5.3063(x) + 37.303</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>F(1,10) = 6.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>somatic growth (mm TL)</td>
<td>time of signature</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>y = 1.6205(x) - 4.0741</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>F(1,10) = 13.89</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time of signature</td>
<td>formation (days since sex-change induction)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>y = -0.8649(x) + 22.486</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>F(1,10) = 8.56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Biol. Lett.* (2009)