Palaeogenomics of pterosaurs and the evolution of small genome size in flying vertebrates
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The two living groups of flying vertebrates, birds and bats, both have constricted genome sizes compared with their close relatives. But nothing is known about the genomic characteristics of pterosaurs, which took to the air over 70 Myr before birds and were the first group of vertebrates to evolve powered flight. Here, we estimate genome size for four species of pterosaurs and seven species of basal archosauriforms using a Bayesian comparative approach. Our results suggest that small genomes commonly associated with flight in bats and birds also evolved in pterosaurs, and that the rate of genome-size evolution is proportional to genome size within amniotes, with the fastest rates occurring in lineages with the largest genomes. We examine the role that drift may have played in the evolution of genome size within tetrapods by testing for correlated evolution between genome size and body size, but find no support for this hypothesis. By contrast, we find evidence suggesting that a combination of adaptation and phylogenetic inertia best explains the correlated evolution of flight and genome-size contraction. These results suggest that small genome/cell size evolved prior to or concurrently with flight in pterosaurs. We predict that, similar to the pattern seen in theropod dinosaurs, genome-size contraction preceded flight in pterosaurs and bats.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Disciplines ranging from morphology and histology to pterosaur biology (Padian et al. 2004). Pterosaurs were the first vertebrate group to evolve powered flight, over 70 Myr before birds and over 150 Myr before bats (Unwin 2003). However, studies into the genetic and genomic characteristics associated with flight and endothermy, among other traits, have focused necessarily on living species of bats and birds. For example, both birds and bats appear to have smaller genome sizes compared with their close relatives (Hughes & Hughes 1995). This pattern led to the hypothesis that genome size was under selective pressure to contract and remain small due to constraints that an elevated endothermic metabolism placed on cell size (Olmo 1983; Szarski 1983). We explore this problem by estimating genome size for four species of pterosaurs and analysing genome-size evolution in all three groups of flying vertebrates.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We combined data from several studies (see the electronic supplementary material) and added osteocyte lacunae size and genome-size data for nine additional living species (see table 1 in the electronic supplementary material). Osteocyte lacunae were also measured from palaeohistological samples of four pterosaur species and seven extinct basal archosauriforms species.

Genome sizes, obtained from the Animal Genome Size Database (www.genomizes.com), were averaged for species with multiple entries. Genome-size and cell-size data were log transformed to accommodate the large range of values in the dataset. To test whether non-adaptive or neutral forces have played a large role in genome evolution within tetrapods we followed the protocol of Lynch (2007) and generated a regression model for genome size and body size for 87 extant species.

Phylogenetic trees were created in Mesquite 2.01 using the StratAid package to date nodes according to the geological time scale. Data were analysed using a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach that normalizes trait data by their shared evolutionary history. To detect proportional evolution, the Poar package for Mesquite was used to estimate node values and standardized contrasts (Oliver et al. 2007; see the electronic supplementary material for additional details).

3. RESULTS

We found that bats evolved smaller sized genomes compared with other mammals when we controlled for phylogeny (phylogenetic t-test (PTT) of genome size in picograms, \( p = 0.028, n = 39 \)). Although birds have the smallest amniote genomes, we find that contrary to previous reports (Hughes & Hughes 1995), when the data are normalized for phylogenetic relatedness, flightless birds have not secondarily evolved larger genomes than other birds (PTT, \( p = 0.33, n = 16 \)). Previous work on birds has shown that small genome size evolved preceding flight in non-avian dinosauirian ancestors (Organ et al. 2007).

Consistent with previous research (Cavalier-Smith 1985), our analysis supports the hypothesized relationship between cell size and genome size (figure 1a,b). The inferred average haploid genome size for pterosaurs was estimated to be 2.0 pg (\( \sigma = 0.08 \); see table 3 in the electronic supplementary material). The average haploid genome size for basal archosauriforms was estimated to be 3.07 pg with a wider posterior distribution (\( \sigma = 0.75 \)). These estimates place pterosaur genome sizes within the upper quartile of the avian range (figure 2a) and the basal archosauriforms (not shown) close to the average for living crocodilians. The analyses also suggest that pterosaurs evolved genomes smaller than their relatives (PTT, \( p = 0.026, n = 13 \)). Adding the inferred genome sizes reported here to a larger dataset (130 tetrapod taxa in total), we find that the rate of genome-size evolution was proportional to genome size within tetrapods over long periods of geological time (figure 1d).

All three amniote groups to have evolved flight (birds, bats and pterosaurs) have also evolved smaller genomes compared with terrestrial amniotes (PTT, \( p = 0.042, n = 122 \)). This test was highly significant under an ordinary t-test (\( p < 0.0001, n = 122 \)). Ancestral state reconstructions of genome size (figure 2b) were also estimated. The results of this analysis
suggest that the ancestral genome size in bats (average of the posterior distribution) was 2.85 pg ($\sigma = 0.54$), in birds was 1.72 pg ($\sigma = 0.38$) and in pterosaurs was 2.08 pg ($\sigma = 0.09$) (figure 2b).

We found no significant relationship between body size and genome size in tetrapods (PGLS regression, $r^2 = 0.0$, $p = 0.94$, $n = 87$; figure 1c). The relationship also remained insignificant when amphibians were removed from the dataset (PGLS regression, $r^2 = 0.005$, $p = 0.52$). These results suggest, assuming that body size is a rough inverse proxy for population size (following the protocol of Lynch 2007), that drift does not solely explain the patterns of genome diversity in tetrapods.

4. DISCUSSION

Based on their phylogenetic position and estimated genome size, we would expect active and extinct transposable elements in the chicken repeat 1 family of long interspersed nuclear elements and mammalian-wide interspersed repeat short interspersed nuclear elements to comprise the largest repetitive fraction of pterosaur genomes (Shedlock et al. 2007). Furthermore, our finding that the rate of genome-size evolution is proportional to genome size within amniotes supports recent work (Oliver et al. 2007), where larger genomes were found to evolve at faster rates. The proportional model accounts for genome-size lability, suggesting that lineages with larger genomes may more readily respond to changes in selection pressures on genome size and is not inconsistent with selection, in part, shaping genome-size evolution (discussed later). The proportional model of genome-size evolution also suggests that genome contraction evolved independently in pterosaurs and theropods, because it would be unlikely for a common ancestor of dinosaurs and pterosaurs with small genome size to give rise to the larger genomes estimated for ornithischian dinosaurs.

Population-level dynamics may play a large role in shaping many aspects of genome architecture (Lynch 2007). Small population sizes are predicted to reduce the efficiency of selection, increasing the likelihood of drift to increase genome size by the accumulation of mildly deleterious mutations, such as interspersed repeats. Previous work on neutral genome evolution (Lynch 2007) has focused on differences among population sizes spanning 20 orders of magnitude (prokaryotes versus eukaryotes), and although such differences are absent within tetrapods the neutral hypothesis should still be evaluated. Our results do not support the neutral hypothesis to account for patterns of genome-size variation within extant
tetrapods and by extension genome-size variation within extinct tetrapods, including pterosaurs. Furthermore, genome size within theropod dinosaurs remained relatively static (Organ et al. 2007), despite large shifts in body size in non-avian dinosaurs. The non-adaptive theory of genome evolution predicts that, compared with those of ornithischian dinosaurs, genome sizes would be larger in the smaller populations of carnivorous non-avian theropods where genetic drift should dominate under conditions of reduced selection efficiency, yet the reverse appears to be the case (Organ et al. 2007).

Throughout these results do not match predictions made by neutral models of genome evolution, they do not rule out the importance of non-adaptive forces, such as genetic drift, on the evolution of genome size in tetrapods or the critical value in generating null hypotheses.

Correlated evolution between small genome size and flight in amniotes suggests that adaptation links these two characters. Although the analyses presented here do not favour drift solely as an explanation for the evolution of genome size in amniotes, ‘phylogenetic inertia’ (defined as the combined influence of genetic, phylogenetic, and adaptive constraints) could explain the observed variation (Hansen & Orzack 2005). The parameter $\lambda$ describes the amount of genome-size covariation among species predicted by the phylogeny. When $\lambda$ is high (close to one) and the slope of the regression line ($\beta$) supports the hypothesis (that there is correlated evolution between small genome size and flight), both current adaptation and inertia have influenced their coevolution (Hansen & Orzack 2005). In our analysis, $\lambda$ has an estimated value of 1 ($p<0.0001; H_0: \lambda=0$), which suggests that both adaptation and inertia best explain the correlated evolution of genome size and flight.

Well-known mechanisms, such as illegitimate recombination, can account for substantial changes of genome size within populations on which selection might act (Bieumont 2008). Reliant on such mechanisms, the nucleotypic theory (Bennett 1971) addresses the relationship between genome size (and hence nucleus size) and cell size, but also states that
the genome influences the phenotype independently of its information content. For example, cell size affects housekeeping dynamics, cellular metabolism and the rate of cell division, among other cytological traits (Kozlowski et al. 2003). Metabolic intensity has recently been tightly linked with genome size through relative heart mass (Vinogradov & Anatskaya 2006) and wing shape in birds (Andrews et al. 2009), and we hypothesize that a metabolic intensity required for flight, not flight itself, explains the correlated evolution between genome size and flight in amniotes. This hypothesis also predicts that pterosaurs possessed some form of elevated metabolism, consistent with other hypotheses about pterosaur biology (Padian et al. 2004). Based on the pattern seen in theropod dinosaurs, where small genomes evolved before flight, we hypothesize that genome-size contraction also preceded flight in pterosaurs and bats.
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