Species detection using environmental DNA from water samples
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The assessment of species distribution is a first critical phase of biodiversity studies and is necessary to many disciplines such as biogeography, conservation biology and ecology. However, several species are difficult to detect, especially during particular time periods or developmental stages, potentially biasing study outcomes. Here we present a novel approach, based on the limited persistence of DNA in the environment, to detect the presence of a species in fresh water. We used specific primers that amplify short mitochondrial DNA sequences to track the presence of a frog (Rana catesbeiana) in controlled environments and natural wetlands. A multi-sampling approach allowed for species detection in all environments where it was present, even at low densities. The reliability of the results was demonstrated by the identification of amplified DNA fragments, using traditional sequencing and parallel pyrosequencing techniques. As the environment can retain the molecular imprint of inhabiting species, our approach allows the reliable detection of secretive organisms in wetlands without direct observation. Combined with massive sequencing and the development of DNA barcodes that enable species identification, this approach opens new perspectives for the assessment of current biodiversity from environmental samples.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The assessment of species distribution is a first critical phase of biodiversity studies and is necessary for several disciplines such as biogeography, conservation biology and ecology (Margruman 2004). However, several species are difficult to detect, especially during particular time periods or developmental stages, potentially biasing study outcomes (Gotelli & Colwell 2001; MacKenzie et al. 2006). The extraction of DNA from environmental samples allows the characterization of their micro-organisms (Venter et al. 2004). It can also provide information on extinct communities of macro-organisms, since short DNA sequences can persist for long time periods, as shown by the studies on old sediments, permafrost and ice cores (Hofreiter et al. 2003; Willerslev et al. 2003, 2007). While short DNA sequences may be present at high density in the environment, their potential for the study of present-day communities of macro-organisms remains substantially unexplored. Here we present a novel approach, based on the persistence of DNA in the environment, to detect the presence of a species in fresh water. We examined whether DNA fragments are preserved in the aquatic environment and whether they can be used for a reliable assessment of current species presence. We first used the method in controlled environments and then evaluated whether it could be applied under natural field conditions. The model species was the American bullfrog Rana catesbeiana (= Lithobates catesbeianus), an invasive amphibian for which high-quality census data exist (Ficetola et al. 2007a, b). This allowed reliable field validation. The American bullfrog is native to western North America, but has been introduced into ecosystems around the globe. It is considered one of the world’s most harmful invasive species, since it is responsible for the decline of native amphibians by direct predation, competition, diffusion of diseases and complex biotic interactions (Blaustein & Kiesecker 2002; Kats & Ferrer 2003; Garner et al. 2006).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
We performed experiments in both controlled conditions and natural populations. Tadpoles were reared in aquaria filled with 31 of water, collected in a natural alpine spring at 1000 m above sea level and at 80 km from the nearest bullfrog record. We used 0, 1, 5 and 10 tadpoles per aquarium; each density was replicated six times. After 24 hours, we collected a 15 ml water sample from each aquarium.

Bullfrog distribution in natural wetlands was assessed in France through traditional surveys of more than 2500 wetlands over 4 years (Ficetola et al. 2007b). We selected three ponds (surface 1000–10 000 m²) where bullfrogs were present at low density (one to two adults seen, no reproduction), three ponds where bullfrogs were present at high density (dozens of adults and thousands of tadpoles) and three ponds where bullfrogs have never been detected. These latter ponds were more than 30 km from the nearest bullfrog record. For each pond, we collected three 15 ml water samples from different points.

Immediately after collection, we added 1.5 ml of sodium acetate 3 M and 33 ml absolute ethanol to the water samples; these were then stored at −20°C until DNA extraction. To recover the precipitated DNA and/or the cellular remains, we centrifuged the mixture (5500 g, 35 min, 6°C) and discarded the supernatant (Valiere & Taberlet 2000). The pellet was then subjected to a classical DNA extraction using QiAamp Tissue Extraction Kit (Qiagen).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed with primers 5’-TGGCAACGGAGGACATCATT-3’ and 5’-ATAAA GGTAGGACCGTATG-3’ especially designed for this experiment. These primers amplify a 79 bp segment of mitochondrial cyt-b, which is monomorphic in all 397 individuals analysed by population genetic studies covering the whole native and European range of the species (Ficetola et al. 2008). The basic alignment search tool showed that these primers do not match with high scores to any other sequences stored in GenBank. Moreover, we used these primers to try amplifying tissue-extracted DNA of all other frog species living in France (Rana ridibunda, Rana klaveri cula, Rana lessonae, Rana dalmatina, Rana temporaria), with at least two individuals from different localities per each species. None of these tests resulted in DNA amplification. The PCRs were conducted in a 25 μl total volume with 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.8 μM of each primer, BSA (5 μg), 0.5 U of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems) and 5 μl of DNA extract. The amplification of each water sample was repeated three to five times, using the multi-tube approach (Taberlet et al. 1996), which gave 9–15 repeats per pond. The number of replicates was similar in ponds with different bullfrog densities (mixed model, F 2,6 = 0.506, p = 0.51). The PCR programme included an initial 10 min denaturation step at 95°C, 5 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s and annealing at...
3. RESULTS
Using selective primers and PCR, we successfully amplified DNA from water samples of all 18 aquariums where we reared tadpoles at different densities (0.3, 1.7, 3.3 tadpoles per L), while we never amplified DNA from the aquariums where bullfrog tadpoles were absent. We also amplified DNA from water samples of all the natural ponds where the species was present, while we never amplified DNA from the ponds where bullfrogs were absent (table 1). All PCR products were sequenced and corresponded perfectly to the published bullfrog cyt-b sequence (Austin et al. 2004). Moreover, 673 fragments from one PCR product were sequenced using the 454 pyrosequencing technology (Margulies et al. 2005). Apart from clearly recognizable sequencing and PCR errors (mostly in a poly A repeat), all obtained sequences perfectly matched the bullfrog cyt-b, indicating that the PCR products were not mixes of different sequences. The probability that all nine replicates from a pond with a low density of frogs would be negative (i.e. false negative) is approximately 1.5% (see below).

These results were obtained using a multi-tube approach and ancient DNA precautions, which are suitable for analysing DNA that is degraded and/or at low concentrations (Taberlet et al. 1996). In water samples collected in ponds where bullfrogs were present, 22–89% of replicates were positive (table 1). The average amplification success (±s.e.) was 0 in ponds where bullfrogs were absent, 0.37±0.1 in ponds where bullfrogs were present at low densities and 0.79±0.08 in ponds where bullfrogs were present at high densities. Owing to the protocol used (multi-tube approach and high number of PCR cycles), it is necessary to ensure that the positive amplifications were not due to artefacts. This requires that all controls be negative and that this lack of amplification be due to chance. Differences in amplification rates among ponds with differing densities of target species were significant (generalized linear mixed model, $\chi^2 = 19.5$, $p < 0.0001$). The amplification success was significantly higher in ponds with bullfrogs than in control ponds (orthogonal contrast, $\chi^2 = 18.2$, $p < 0.0001$); it is thus unlikely that all the control samples were negative just by chance. Moreover, the amplification rate was significantly higher in ponds with high bullfrog densities than in ponds with low densities ($\chi^2 = 5.7$, $p = 0.017$).

4. DISCUSSION
We showed that environmental DNA (either in solution or in cellular debris) can be used to ascertain species presence in a wetland, and that this technique is able to discriminate between absence and presence, even at low densities. As the environment can retain the molecular imprint of inhabiting species (Hofreiter et al. 2003), our approach allows the reliable detection of secretive organisms in wetlands without direct observation. This is possible because environmental DNA can be detected at very low concentrations (see electronic supplementary material). The same approach could be useful for studying secretive aquatic or semi-aquatic species, which release DNA into the environment through mucus, faeces, urine and remains.

This approach can be the answer to many situations where traditional census techniques give low-quality results and/or require a huge sampling effort. This is the case when trying to quantify secretive, harmful (such as bullfrog) or threatened species. Detecting invasive species at the early stages of invasions, and when they are at low densities, is the key to timely interventions to control them (Hulme 2006). Moreover, this technique allows the assessment of distribution of rare threatened species that are the target of conservation plans, or of harmful species that are kept at low density by management, with a reduced monitoring effort.

The use of such a method, like all techniques dealing with the detection of DNA traces, requires several precautions (Taberlet et al. 1996; Cooper & Poinar 2000). First, several factors could affect the amount of DNA in environmental samples, such as volume of water, size and density of the organism and volume of secretions. Second, as it is difficult to evaluate how long DNA fragments persist in water, this method could lead to the detection of a species after it has left the wetland. Short DNA fragments can persist a long time under dry cold conditions and in the absence of light. In one extreme example, DNA from extinct vertebrates has been amplified from 10,000-year old dry cave sediments (Willerslev et al. 2003). Actually, DNA fragments of approximately 400 bp may persist up to one week at 18°C in lake water (Matsui et al. 2001). This suggests that detecting a species that is no longer present is unlikely, owing to both the DNA’s fast decomposition rate and its degradation from UV radiation exposure.

Table 1. Rate of bullfrog detection in water samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pond</th>
<th>bullfrog presence and relative abundance</th>
<th>water samples positives at least once</th>
<th>positive PCRs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes-low</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes-low</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes-low</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes-high</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>8/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes-high</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>yes-high</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>8/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the presence of cells or particle-bound DNA may lead to a longer persistence of detectable DNA in water.

In addition to its applications for the study and management of secretive freshwater species, this approach opens new avenues for the study of biodiversity. The ongoing effort to develop DNA barcodes for identifying species from degraded DNA (Hajibabaei et al. 2006; Taberlet et al. 2007) will make our approach applicable to more and more plant and animal species. Moreover, massive sequencing techniques could be used to analyse PCR products generated with universal primers working on degraded substrates (e.g. Hofreiter et al. 2003; Willerslev et al. 2003; Taberlet et al. 2007). These factors will soon make possible the assessment of the current biodiversity of macro-organisms from environmental samples.
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