Correction to ‘O brother, where art thou? Investment in siblings for inclusive fitness benefits, not father absence, predicts earlier age at menarche’

Daniel Smith


Please note that an error was unfortunately introduced in table 1 during the proof-reading of this article [1]. At the end of the legend of table 1 the text should read ‘p-value codes: † p < 0.1; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001’, rather than ‘p-value codes: † p < 0.1; ** p < 0.05; *** p < 0.01; # p < 0.001’ as currently stated in the manuscript. The p-value codes in the actual table are correct (i.e. *** indicates a p-value < 0.001).
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