Presence of cleaner wrasse increases the recruitment of damselfishes to coral reefs
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Mutualisms affect the biodiversity, distribution and abundance of biological communities. However, ecological processes that drive mutualism-related shifts in population structure are often unclear and must be examined to elucidate how complex, multi-species mutualistic networks are formed and structured. In this study, we investigated how the presence of key marine mutualistic partners can drive the organisation of local communities on coral reefs. The cleaner wrasse, Labroides dimidiatus, removes ectoparasites and reduces stress hormones for multiple reef fish species, and their presence on coral reefs increases fish abundance and diversity. Such changes in population structure could be driven by increased recruitment of larval fish at settlement, or by post-settlement processes such as modified levels of migration or predation. We conducted a controlled field experiment to examine the effect of cleaners on recruitment processes of a common group of reef fishes, and showed that small patch reefs (61–285 m²) with cleaner wrasse had higher abundances of damselfish recruits than reefs from which cleaner wrasse had been removed over a 12-year period. However, the presence of cleaner wrasse did not affect species diversity of damselfish recruits. Our study provides evidence of the ecological processes that underpin changes in local population structure in the presence of a key mutualistic partner.

1. Introduction

On coral reefs, cleaning symbioses are key mutualistic networks that increase abundance and promote species diversity in local fish communities [1,2]. Cleaner organisms, such as the bluestreak cleaner wrasse, Labroides dimidiatus, interact with multiple species of fish, and provide fitness benefits to their clients by reducing stress hormones [3] and ectoparasite loads such as gnathiid isopods [4]. The presence of cleaner wrasse also affects fish population structure, size–frequency distribution and growth [2,4,5]. Abundance and diversity of fishes, both adult damselfishes (site-attached) [2,6] and visitors (moving between patch reefs) [1] increase, as does the abundance of juvenile visitor fishes [2] on reefs with cleaner wrasse.

Damselfishes (Pomacentridae) are one of the most abundant groups of fishes found on coral reefs. Damselfishes play a critical role in structuring coral reef benthic communities [7], with their presence indirectly increasing the survival of juvenile fishes [8]. Although the abundance and diversity of adult damselfishes are higher on reefs where cleaner wrasse are present, it is not known if this difference occurs during recruitment, as damselfishes are relatively sedentary after settlement (when fish first join the reef assemblage after a pelagic larval stage). Whether differences in reef fish population structure in the presence of cleaning organisms involve regulatory processes such as migration or predation during settlement, or post-settlement during the juvenile and adult life stages, has not been investigated. Understanding recruitment, a critical demographic process, is important as it determines the structure of many marine and terrestrial...
communities[9]. In this study, we examined whether the presence of cleaner wrasses influences recruitment patterns of larval coral reef fishes on patch reefs, which could explain differences in the abundance and diversity of local assemblages of adult fishes. As previous studies have shown a decrease in abundance and diversity of reef fishes on reefs where cleaner wrasse are absent[1,2,6], we predict a similar trend occurring for damselfish recruits.

2. Material and methods

Our study was conducted on 16 small patch reefs in shallow (3–7 m depth) areas in lagoon and back-reef habitats near Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Since 2000, ‘removal’ reefs (n = 7) were inspected at three-month intervals and cleaner wrasse removed with hand and barrier nets; ‘control’ reefs (n = 9) were surveyed for cleaner wrasse (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1 for numbers encountered).

To investigate whether the abundance and species richness of damselfish recruits differed between removal and control reefs, we counted recruits (less than 20 mm TL) for 5 days in each of three lunar cycles, beginning 4 days after the new moon (18–22 November 2012, 17–21 December 2012 and 16–20 January 2013). These times were selected because settlement of fishes to coral reefs peak around the time of the new moon during these summer months[10]. Counts alternated between randomly selected control and removal reefs and fish were

---

Figure 1. Mean (+ s.e.) abundance of recruiting damselfish species. Control and cleaner wrasse removal reefs: (a–e) abundance of individual common species, (f) abundance of uncommon species. Asterisk (*) denotes significant difference in cleaner wrasse treatment (p < 0.01), n.s. = p > 0.01.
3. Results

Thirty-one species of damselfish recruits were identified (electronic supplementary material, table S2). The total abundance of common damselfishes was lower on removal than on control reefs ($p = 0.006$; electronic supplementary material, figure S2 and table S3). At the species level, the abundances of *C. rollandi* ($p = 0.0001$, December only) and *P. amboinensis* ($p < 0.0001$; figure 1a–b; electronic supplementary material, table S3) were significantly lower on reefs where cleaner wrasse had been removed than on control reefs. Although there were similar trends in the average abundance of the other common species, *P. adelus*, *P. moluccensis* and *P. nagasakiensis*, these differences were not significant ($p = 0.0769, 0.0689$ and 0.0573, respectively; figure 1c–e). Total abundance of uncommon damselfishes was also lower on removal reefs ($p = 0.0070$, figure 1f).

We found no differences in measures of diversity between removal and control reefs (species richness: $p = 0.3578$; Simpson’s diversity index: $p = 0.8418$; species composition: PERMANOVA, $F_{1, 36} = 1.83$, $p = 0.1029$; figure 2a–c; electronic supplementary material, table S4).

4. Discussion

The combined abundances of the five common species, the individual abundances of two common species (*C. rollandi* and *P. amboinensis*), and the total abundance of uncommon recruited damselfishes were higher on reefs with cleaner wrasse present than on those without. There was also a strong (but not statistically significant) trend for abundances of the other common damselfishes (*P. adelus*, *P. moluccensis* and *P. nagasakiensis*) to be higher on reefs with cleaners. These results suggest that the presence of a key mutualistic
species creates changes in population structure around the time of settlement and recruitment. This in turn could explain the observed changes in abundance and distribution of coral reef fish populations in relation to cleaner wrasse presence [2].

The presence of cleaner wrasse could influence recruitment processes by a number of direct or indirect mechanisms. If young fish use visual or olfactory cues to locate potential microhabitats, then sensory cues may enable them to locate cleaners directly. Indeed, a recent study suggests that recently settled fish can recognize and preferentially choose a microhabitat near a cleaner [15]. Alternatively, cleaner wrasse could influence recruitment processes indirectly by reducing the abundance of ectoparasites in the local environment. Higher abundances of parasites in a system have the potential to detrimentally affect the recruitment of juveniles, as demonstrated in lower recruitment success of juvenile cricket frogs (Acris crepitans) in ponds with high rates of parasitic infection [16]. Although both adults and recruit damselfishes are known to be infected with gnathiids, cleaner wrasse rarely clean recruits and tend to clean larger individuals [15]. However, with gnathiids decreasing swimming performance and increasing mortality of settling fish [17] the removal of even a single gnathiid can increase the survival of an individual. Cleaner wrasse may also indirectly promote fish recruitment by influencing the abundance of conspecifics, which have been shown to influence microhabitat selection by settling fish, as settling fish may use the presence of conspecifics as a measure of habitat quality [18] or the behaviour of predators [19]. The abundance of all (adult and juvenile) conspecifics for P. ambiguus, P. malacensis and P. nagaokaensis are also higher on reefs with cleaner wrasse than those without (D Sun 2012, unpublished data). Further investigation is required to disentangle the relative importance of these direct and indirect mechanisms.

Interestingly, there was no difference in species richness, Simpson’s diversity index, or composition of damselfish recruits between control and removal reefs, suggesting that the presence of cleaner wrasse affects the abundance of individual species but not the overall community diversity of recruit damselfishes. Previous studies have shown an increase in the abundance and species richness of resident adult fishes in the presence of cleaner wrasse [2,6]. Our results suggest that such patterns in diversity are not likely due to differential recruitment, but due to post-settlement events, including migration in response to cleaner wrasse presence or the effects of parasitism [2]. Parasitic infection by gnathiid isopods can make recruits more susceptible to the high rates of predation that occur immediately after settlement (typically 56% within 2 days of settlement) [20]. Ultimately, this process could affect the diversity of fishes in the presence of cleaner wrasse.

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that the presence of cleaner wrasse has the potential to influence the structure and demography of reef fish populations via effects on the process of recruitment. Thus, mutualism is an important mechanism that contributes to the already-complex recruitment processes of reef fishes.

Acknowledgements. We thank Lizard Island Research Station staff, field volunteers and S. P. Blomberg for statistical advice.

References


