An ant-associated mesostigmatid mite in Baltic amber
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Fossil mesostigmatid mites (Acari: Parasitiformes: Mesostigmata) are extremely rare, and specimens from only nine families, including four named species, have been described so far. A new record of *Myrmozercon* sp. described here from Eocene (ca 44–49 Myr) Baltic amber represents the first—and so far only—fossil example of the derived, extant family Laelapidae. Significantly, modern species of this genus are habitually myrmecophilous and the fossil mite described here is preserved attached to the head of the dolichoderine ant *Ctenobethylus goepperti* (Mayr, 1868). It thus offers the oldest unequivocal evidence for an ecological association between mesostigmatid mites and social insects in the order Hymenoptera.

1. Introduction

Mesostigmatid mites (Acari: Parasitiformes) are a diverse group with over 11 400 living species [1]. These small, eyeless, sometimes fast-moving arachnids are a typical component of soil and leaf-litter faunas. Many are predators on other small organisms, but a range of lifestyles and habitats have been recorded, including trends towards parasitism and other intimate associations with larger arthropods [2] or vertebrates [3,4]. Although species-rich today, the fossil record of Mesostigmata is surprisingly sparse [5, table 1] with only 14 published records (all Cenozoic) of which four comprise named species. A reason for this may be that—in contrast to acariform mites—few lineages of Mesostigmata have colonized the surfaces of trees, reducing the opportunity for them to become fossilized in tree resin as amber. Recently, fossils belonging to the Uropodina clade were described from the Rovno [6] and Baltic [5] ambers, respectively (both Eocene, ca 44–49 Ma). The mites in question were discovered through their attachment as phoretic ‘stowaways’ on larger arthropods, in these examples beetles (Coleoptera: Cryptophagidae and Cerambycidae). The latter authors suggested that the rarity of fossil mesostigmatids is probably an artefact, and that further discoveries could be made by searching for mites in phoretic (or parasitic) association with other inclusions trapped in amber.

Here, we document a new mesostigmatid mite from Baltic amber attached to the head of an ant (figures 1 and 2), the latter assignable to the species *Ctenobethylus goepperti* (Mayr, 1868) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Dolichoderinae). This is by far the most abundant ant species found in Baltic amber (ca 50% of all ants [7]). We can assign this unique mite specimen to *Myrmozercon* Berlese, 1902; a genus in the family Laelapidae whose living representatives are typically myrmecophilous, i.e. strongly associated with ants. In a wider context, this remarkable discovery offers the oldest evidence for an intimate (probably parasitic) relationship between mesostigmatic mites and social insects within the order Hymenoptera. This fossilized behaviour foreshadows
the emergence of other species that attack hymenopterans; such as *Tropilaelaps clareae* Delfinado and Baker, 1961 (Laelapidae) or *Varroa destructor* Anderson & Trueman, 2000 from the closely related family Varroidae—mites that are of commercial importance today as pests of honeybees [8,9].

### 2. Material and methods

The new amber specimen derives from the Jörg Wunderlich collection and bears the repository number F2534/BB/CJW. It will be transferred at a later date to the Senckenberg Institute Frankfurt/Main or one of its satellite institutions (J. Wunderlich 2012, personal communication). The ant and mite are preserved in a thin, rectangular piece of clear yellow amber with dimensions of ca $20 \times 14$ mm. A bubble partially obscures the ventral surface of the mite. A precise locality for the specimen is not available, but much of the recently collected Baltic amber stems from the Kaliningrad region of the Russian Baltic coast. Baltic amber is thought to have been deposited in a warm forest environment and is conventionally dated at Eocene (Lutetian), or about 44–49 Ma, but could be slightly younger [10]. For a recent overview of this amber deposit and its geological setting, see Weitschat & Wichard [11]. Specimens were studied and drawn under a Leica stereomicroscope and Zeiss Axioscope compound microscope, both with a camera lucida attachment. The specimens were photographed via the LEICA APPLICATION SUITE software which enabled stacks of images at various focal depths to be combined into a final picture.

### 3. Systematic palaeontology

*Parasitiformes* Reuter, 1909  
*Mesostigmata* Canestrini, 1891  
*Monogynaspida* Camin & Gorriossi, 1955  
*Gamasinidae* Kramer, 1881  
*Dermanyssiae* Evans & Till, 1979  
*Dermanyssoidea* Kolenati, 1859  
*Laelapidae* Berlese, 1892  
**Genus Myrmozercon** Berlese, 1902  
*Myrmozercon brevipes* Berlese, 1902  
*Myrmozercon* sp.

**Figures 1 and 2a–d.**

### 4. Discussion

For recent accounts of *Myrmozercon*, including a redescription of the type species, see [12–16]. Living representatives of this genus...
are true myrmecophiles. They are typically found in ant nests or on worker or alate ants where they are thought to be parasitic, rather than commensal, although this has not yet been demonstrated experimentally [13]. Twenty-four extant species of Myrmozercon—many originally described under the junior synonym Myrmonyssus Berlese, 1903—are known, mostly from a single species of ant host (see comments in [13]). Some associations are unknown; M. robustisetae was described from a termite nest [16] but appears to be misplaced in the genus Myrmozercon [15]. Previous associations have been reported from a wide variety of ant genera in three subfamilies: Dolichoderinae (Iridomyrmex, Tapinoma); Formicinae (Camponotus, Myrmecocystus, Polyrhachis); Myrmicinae (Aphenogaster, Crematogaster, Messor, Tetramorium). Extant species of Myrmozercon with dense hypertrichy are associated with the dolichoderine genera Iridomyrmex and Tapinoma. Interestingly, the Baltic amber ant Ctenobethylus is very similar to Iridomyrmex, such that it was synonymized under this modern genus for 20 years before being re-instated as a distinct, extinct genus [17].

Our present discovery of a Myrmozercon mite in amber attached to an ant is significant for a number of reasons. It represents the first—and so far only—example of the derived mesostigmatid family Laelapidae, and its superfamily Dermenyssoidea, in the fossil record. Second, there has only been one other published record of ants in amber being parasitized by mites. Wheeler mentioned workers of the formicine Lasius schiefferdeckeri Mayr, 1868 with ‘gamasid’ (= mesostigmatid) mites attached to their hind tibiae [18, pp. 21–22].

Figure 2. Details of the fossil mite in (a) dorsal view and (b) ventral view, together with respective interpretative drawings (c,d) and comparative dorso-ventral images (e,f) of the living species Myrmozercon brevipes Berlese, 1902. as, anal shield; ds, dorsal setae; gn, gnathosoma; hs, holodorsal shield; id, idiosoma; pp, pedipalp; pv, pulvillus; legs numbered I–IV. (Online version in colour.)
That said, his original (fig. 58) drawing evidently shows a larval parasitengonid mite; an acariform group that are commonly discovered parasitizing other amber arthropods. Third, in modern ecosystems, there is considerable interest in those Mesostigmata that attack social insects [19] and, in particular, honeybees (see above). We demonstrate here, that at least for ants, ecological relationships of this nature can be traced back almost 50 Myr.

Indeed, various types of association between mesostigmatid mites and ants have been recorded in the literature. In some of these, mites express explicit morphological adaptations. A good example would be the ‘holfast’ mechanism in the Trichocylliba subgenera (Acari: Uropodina), within which different degrees of adaptation can be observed. The genus Circocylliba has only very strong claws to attach itself to the ant’s body. By contrast, in the other subgenera (Coxequesoma and Antennequesoma), the idiosoma margin is curved and helps attach the mites to the legs or the antenna (see [20] and references therein). Other types of ant association involve mites—such as our Myrmozercon here—in which specific morphological adaptations are not apparent, and the mite simply holds itself in place using its legs. Myrmozercon also lacks claws on the legs and has instead a suction-like pulvillus, also visible in the fossil (figure 2d, pv), but these features are not unique to this genus and can be found in other mites living on insects as well.

As in our amber piece, living Myrmozercon species can sometimes be found attached to the head of their ant host [15]; a specific behaviour that we can now trace back to at least the Eocene. The high host specificity noted above—i.e. one Myrmozercon species typically attaches itself to only one ant species—may be important if other fossil ants are discovered carrying mesostigmats; the prediction being that different amber ants should host different Myrmozercon species. The high frequency of Ctenobethylus among all Baltic amber ant specimens may allow for a more precise survey of this specific association with Myrmozercon. As mentioned above, amber, being fossilized tree resin, tends to trap arboreal faunal elements more often than ground-dwelling ones, and there is at least one case of a modern Myrmozercon species being associated with an arboreal ant [12]. A number of living species from other genera of the family Laelapidae can also be found on ants [15], and our research further shows that it may be worth checking specimens of other social insects preserved in amber for associated (parasitiform) mite inclusions. It is conceivable that we shall be able to recover further palaeontological data documenting the origins and evolution of these important host–parasite relationships.
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