Salt- and alkaline-tolerance are linked in Acacia
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1. Introduction

Most plants are glycophytes that tolerate only low concentrations of salt before they are adversely affected or die. The salt concentration threshold separating glycophytes from salt-tolerant halophytes has been variously set between 80 and 200 mM NaCl [1]; it is often estimated by electrical conductivity (EC) measurements on soil saturated paste extracts. Saline soils have an EC of 4000 mS m⁻¹ or more (approx. 40 mM NaCl) measured on a saturated soil paste extract at 25 °C [2]. However, physiological plant responses to salinity start at EC less than 4000 mS m⁻¹ [2] and Australian native plant distributions respond to a salinity gradient starting at low EC [3].

By contrast, there is no precise definition of what characterizes an alkaline-tolerant plant. Most cultivated plants prefer acidic soils (pH 5.5–6.5) [4]. Combined alkaline and salt stresses are more deleterious than salinity alone [5–7]. Even for the naturally alkali-resistant halophyte Chloris virgata, the inhibitory effects of alkali stress on relative growth rate and stored energy are significantly larger than salt stress at neutral pH [6]. Resistance to either or both of these abiotic stresses also may be associated with drought tolerance in arid biome plants [8,9].

Traditionally, research on salt- and/or alkaline-tolerance in plants focused on laboratory experimentation and plant breeding [5–7], but new paradigms have emerged. One uses molecular tools to identify genes involved in stress-tolerance [9,10] and another uses phylogenetics to investigate the evolution of salt-tolerance [11,12].

Acacia has radiated into more than 1000 species across Australia over 25 Ma [13] and its distribution is significantly correlated with soil pH and salinity [14]. Acacia species richness and endemcity have been linked to soil chemistry in Western Australia [14] where large localized spatial and seasonal variability in EC and pH [15] create a mosaic of island-like niches. We investigate the
evolution of salt- and alkaline-tolerance using a comprehensive Acacia phylogeny. We hypothesize that there is a genetic relationship between salt- and alkaline-tolerance in Acacia. Understanding this evolution may provide new avenues for plant breeding for stress-tolerance and improve prospects for land rehabilitation and agriculture in extreme environments.

2. Material and methods

Locality data were extracted from Australia’s Virtual Herbarium (avh.ala.org.au) resulting in 127 259 points with unique geographical coordinates, representing presence data for 1020 Acacia species across the continent [14]. A maximum-likelihood phylogeny of 503 Acacia species was constructed from six DNA regions [16] using RAxML HPC BlackBox tool implemented online in the CIPRES Portal (http://www.phylo.org/).

The National Geochemical Survey of Australia reported the pH and EC on 1 : 5 soil : solution extracts from bulk samples at 1315 georeferenced point measurements across the continent at two depth intervals (top outlet sediment (TOS), 0–10 cm, and bottom outlet sediment (BOS), 60–80 cm) [17]. Salinity in EC1 : 5 extracts is lower than in saturated paste extracts. With geoR, models were constructed to fit variograms and ordinary kriging [18] was used to predict pH and EC for the two depths for all Acacia localities. Average responses of species were used in the phylogenetic analyses.

Phylogenetic signal was tested with Pagel’s λ test using Phylosig in R which fits traits on the phylogeny against the null hypothesis (λ = 0) [19]. We tested for character correlation by correcting for non-independence of the observed phylogenetic signal with phylogenetically independent contrasts (PIC) [20,21] which assumes a Brownian motion process of evolution. We divided the geochemistry data into four groups based on PIC.

Relatively few acacias have been tested for salt-tolerance, therefore we defined an Acacia species as salt-tolerant when the average EC value over its range was in the highest 10% (50 species) of 503 species (more than 1979 μS cm⁻¹; electronic supplementary material, table S2; figure 1c). We defined Acacia species as alkaline-tolerant when the average pH value over its range was in the highest 10% of the species (more than 7.61; electronic supplementary material, table S2; figure 1f).

3. Results

Predicted species averages of EC_BOS values were generally higher than TOS and ranged from 53.5 to 1566 μS cm⁻¹ (TOS) and 50.6–5655 μS cm⁻¹ (BOS). Species averages for pH were slightly higher in the subsoil with values ranging from 5.03 to 7.80 in TOS and 5.33 to 8.41 in BOS (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Because the BOS geochemistry is more extreme and acacias are trees with deep roots [22], we focus on BOS data.

Acacia pterocaulon has the highest average EC_BOS with a value of 5655 μS cm⁻¹, with four other species with values above 4000 μS cm⁻¹; all are endemic to southwestern Western Australia (figure 1a). Twenty-five of the next 27 species with highest EC_BOS values (more than 2000 μS cm⁻¹) co-occur or grow nearby in inland southwestern Western Australia; many are range restricted.

Acacia gillii has the highest average pH_BOS (8.40) and six more species grow in soils where the average pH_BOS is above 8.0. These species, except A. dempsteri, are restricted to coastal regions of South Australia (figure 1b).

Thirteen species have EC and pH values (BOS) in the top 10% of each category and can be considered both salt- and alkaline-tolerant (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Eleven species have EC and pH values (TOS) in the top 10% for each.

Phylogenetic signal analysis indicated that EC and pH average values per species for both TOS and BOS reflect the phylogenetic structure (p < 0.0001). Additionally, PIC analysis determined that both traits are correlated with each other even after taking into account the phylogeny.

Using our definitions of salinity and alkalinity tolerance (50 species), we infer that salinity tolerance evolved 38 times and alkalinity tolerance evolved 31 times. There is more phylogenetic clustering of the alkaline-tolerant than salt-tolerant species. The _aniceps_ clade (figure 1) contains 11 alkaline-tolerant species and four salinity-tolerant species, with 12 species in the upper 25% for salinity. The geographical distribution of species in this clade indicates that less tolerant sister species are generally in nearby areas that have soils that are less extreme in EC and pH values (figure 1c,d). Overlap of high EC and pH areas is evident in southern Western Australia and along the Nullarbor Plain into South Australia (figure 1c,f).

4. Discussion

The Australian landscape is old, weathered and has included soils with high levels of salinity and alkalinity for at least the last 2–4 Ma [14,15,23]. _Acacia_ has evolved in this landscape for 25 Ma and has repeatedly adapted to saline and/or alkaline soils, in many lineages and at different times.

Salt-tolerance appears in the _victoriae_ clade, sister to the rest of Acacia (figure 1). _Acacia adinophylla_ is predicted to be associated with relatively high EC soils, while _A. victoriae_, predicted to be associated with moderate levels of salinity, has been used to rehabilitate salt-affected land [12]. _Acacia adinophylla_ and other highly salt-tolerant species are range restricted and broadly sympatric (figure 1d) in the Transitional Rainfall Province of Western Australia, a locus of species richness and the origin of subsequent radiations [24]; these species are unrelated (asterisk in figure 1) indicating repeated colonization of saline soil. Tolerance to high soil pH also arises in the _victoriae_ clade as all members (figure 1) are predicted to occur on soils with pH_BOS > 7.3.

Many species adapted to high salinity are also adapted to high pH; this is not surprising since both soil properties are associated with aridity [14] and this study cannot determine whether those are independent adaptations to two stresses that happen to exist in the same habitat or examples of cross-tolerance, the induction of adaptive responses to a stress by another. The _aniceps_ clade (figure 1d) contains four species with average pH_BOS > 8.0 that are also in the upper 25% for salinity. _Acacia aniceps_ and several congeners occur across the Nullarbor Plain and Eyre Peninsula. The Nullarbor is an extensive marine limestone formation along the Great Australian Bight, exposed since approximately 14 Ma, and the derived overlying soils are uniformly high in pedogenic carbonates [25]. Colonization of the Nullarbor is associated with the evolution of a new clade adapted to calcareous substrate.

The sympatry of multiple _Acacia_ lineages on saline and alkaline soils could be the result of alternative mechanisms. Firstly, the _Acacia_ ancestral condition could be tolerance to
Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of 503 Acacia species with EC_BOS (left) and pH_BOS (right); quantiles mapped and parsimony ancestral state reconstructed. Legend indicates raw values of quantiles. Asterisk (*) indicates species with highest EC_BOS values; symbol # indicates species with pH_BOS values more than 8.0. (a) Distribution map of the five species with highest average EC_BOS values; (b) Distribution map of A. dempsteri (grey), gillii, anceps and other species with subsoil pH > 8.0; (c) A. anceps clade with the species distribution coloured as on the EC phylogeny and (d) as on the pH phylogeny; (e) map of EC_BOS and (f) pH_BOS across Australia.
these soils, however ancestral state reconstruction does not support this hypothesis (EC\_BOS = 897, pH\_BOS = 7.1). Alternatively, the results suggest that there have been multiple colonizations of the highly saline and alkaline areas by multiple lineages at different time-points spanning 25 Ma. This means that even in areas where the soil conditions are providing strong selective pressures through high EC and/or pH, the environmental niche is not saturated by the first colonizing Acacia lineage, i.e. new species are able to invade communities where ecologically similar species are already present [26].

A few apparently salt-tolerant species occur on acid soils; this may point to independent adaptations to stress. There are also large radiations of Acacia associated with soils with both lower salinity and alkalinity, especially in eastern Australia where most of the Acacia species with bipinnate leaves occur [13].

5. Conclusion

We have found in the Australian Acacia that phylogenetic, geographical and soil chemistry relationships provide foundation to investigate how stress tolerance traits have evolved. In particular, southwestern Australia had many species from multiple Acacia lineages that appear adapted to both high salinity and alkalinity. Phylogenetic methods can be powerful tools to identify taxa suitable for generating new genetic combinations by plant breeding which are salt- and/or alkaline-tolerant. However, combined spatial and phylogenetic data cannot establish the role of soil chemistry on niche width. Manipulative experiments are required to test the effect of salt chemistry and pH and other abiotic and biotic factors in determining niche preferences.
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