Migratory restlessness in captive individuals predicts actual departure in the wild
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In captivity, migratory birds show increased activity during the time that they would normally migrate. The phenology and intensity of such ‘migratory restlessness’ has been shown to mirror species- and population-specific migration patterns observed in the wild and has consequently been used as a proxy for the motivation to migrate. Many studies doing so, however, were aiming to explain among-individual variation in migratory behaviour or traits, and not species- or population-specific traits. These studies thus assumed that, also at the level of the individual, migratory restlessness is an accurate proxy for the motivation to migrate. We tested this assumption for the first time and found that it holds; individuals showing very little migratory restlessness remained at stopover for longer than one night, whereas most individuals showing more restlessness departed sooner. This finding validates the use of migratory restlessness as a proxy for the motivation to migrate, thereby justifying the conclusions made in a large body of research on avian migration.

1. Introduction

Research on bird migration has leaned heavily on measuring migratory restlessness in captive individuals. Migratory restlessness mainly consists of wing flapping while perched [1] and is expressed as the duration or amount of activity within a given time period, e.g. the dark phase of a diurnal cycle. The occurrence and intensity of migratory restlessness in captive birds mirrors the migration patterns of wild conspecifics [2,3]. For example, species- or population-specific migration distances observed in the wild match the seasonal duration of migratory restlessness shown by captive conspecifics [4,5]. Similarly, population-specific onset of autumnal migration matches the start of migratory restlessness in captive conspecifics [6]. Such observations suggest that migratory restlessness signals the motivation to migrate.

Optimal migration theory commonly assumes that birds minimize the overall time of migration [7,8]. Migrants spend most of their time at stopovers, during which they rest and replenish the fuel (in the form of fat) used during the preceding flights [9,10]. Consequently, explaining variation in stopover duration has been the focus of numerous studies, many of which have used migratory restlessness in captive birds as a proxy for the motivation to migrate. For example, the decision to terminate stopover and resume migration, assessed by migratory restlessness, has been linked to food availability [11–17], food quality [18], size and accumulation of fat reserves [11,19–23], weather [24] and circulating hormone levels [16,25]. However, the decision to depart from stopover is made by individuals, whereas studies indicating that migratory restlessness reflects the motivation to migrate were done at the species or population level [2–5]. In other words, the assumption that, at the level of the individual, migratory restlessness is an accurate proxy for the motivation to migrate remains to be tested. For this purpose, we measured migratory restlessness in 20 northern wheatears (Oenanthe oenanthe) at stopover during a
single night. The following afternoon all birds were released, carrying a radio-transmitter to allow exact determination of the night of departure. We found that the amount of migratory restlessness shown by individual birds matched the number of nights they stayed at the stopover site after release. This result justifies the use of migratory restlessness as a proxy for departure likelihood from stopover and, more generally, as a proxy for the motivation to migrate at the level of the individual.

2. Material and methods

The study was conducted on Helgoland (54°11’ N, 07°55’ E), a small (1 km²) island some 50 km off the German North Sea coastline. Northern wheatears are small (ca 25 g) insectivorous nocturnal long-distance migrants. From 17 to 20 August 2013, 20 migrating northern wheatears were caught using mealworm-baited spring traps. Upon capture, C.E. measured wing length (maximum chord) to the nearest 0.5 mm, and body mass to the nearest 0.1 g. Wing length was used to calculate lean body mass, employing a linear regression based on 220 ‘lean’ northern wheatears caught on Helgoland in previous years: lean body mass (g) = 0.29 g mm⁻¹ × wing length (mm) – 6.85 g (linear regression: n = 220, F₁,218 = 95.07, adj-R² = 0.30, p < 0.0001, after [23]). Fat reserves were then calculated as: (body mass (g) – lean body mass (g))/lean body mass (g). Mean and s.d. fat reserves of the 20 birds used in this study was 0.089 ± 0.086. After trapping, birds were put in individual cages (40 × 40 × 30 cm) set up in a single indoor room with artificial lighting. The lights in the room went on at 7.00 and off at 21.00 CET, approximately 30 min after natural sunset. The temperature was held constant at 21°C, and birds had ad libitum access to food (mealworms) and water. Food trays were removed at lights off. Migratory restlessness was recorded automatically with motion-sensitive microphones, attached to the right wall of each cage. Each time a bird moved, this generated an impulse that was transmitted to a recording device. To avoid the recording of occasional non-migratory activity, we set a threshold of three impulses per second before it was recorded as an activity count [26,27]. Another device created a CSV file summarizing the activity counts over 15 min periods. Individual tracking of radio-tagged birds revealed that northern wheatears leave Helgoland exclusively at night, between 1 and 5.5 h after sunset [28]. Therefore, migratory restlessness, measured in the night of 20 August, was defined as the number of 15 min periods between 1 and 5.5 h after lights off, during which a bird showed at least five activity counts (n = 20).

Figure 1. The relationship between nocturnal migratory restlessness and the number of nights birds stayed on Helgoland after release. Migratory restlessness was defined as the number of 15 min periods between 1 and 5.5 h after lights off, during which a bird showed at least five activity counts (n = 20).

3. Results and discussion

Individuals showing very slight migratory restlessness remained at the stopover site for longer than one night after being released, whereas most individuals showing more restlessness departed on the first night after release (GLM: β ± s.e. = −0.25 ± 0.08, Wald = 9.12, d.f. = 1, p = 0.003, figure 1). The observed relationship between migratory restlessness and departure latency was driven mainly by the birds with low restlessness (scores of 0–4, figure 1). These (seven) birds were, however, not exhausted or otherwise abnormal; their mean fat reserves

Table 1. Model results from the known-fate model. Φ: apparent survival probability (here actually measuring duration of stay after release); mr: migratory restlessness; t: parameter varying over time; (.) denotes constant parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>AICc weight</th>
<th>model likelihood</th>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>deviance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Φ (t × mr)</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ (mr)</td>
<td>52.68</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ (t + mr)</td>
<td>54.66</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ (.)</td>
<td>63.92</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ (t)</td>
<td>77.45</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Levels of migratory restlessness were lower than those observed previously in northern wheatears on Helgoland during spring migration [23]. The most likely explanation for this seasonal difference is that in spring migrants generally travel faster, and make shorter stopovers, than in autumn [31].

Our results, confirmed, for the first time, that migratory restlessness can be used as an accurate proxy for the motivation to migrate at the level of the individual. Migratory restlessness is a key measure in avian migration research, and many studies have used inter-individual variation in migratory restlessness to explain how environmental and intrinsic factors temporally affect migration [11–25]. Our findings therefore justify the conclusions of a large body of research on avian migration.
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